INDIANA
CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION

BEFORE THE STATE OF INDIANA CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION

PUBLIC MEETING OF NOVEMBER 15, 2013

ORIGINAL

PROCEEDINGS

in the above-captioned matter, before the Indiana Civil Rights Commission, David C. Carter,
Chairman, taken before me, Lindy L. Meyer, Jr., a
Notary Public in and for the State of Indiana,
County of Shelby, at the Indiana Government
Center South, Conference Center, Room A, 402 West
Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana, on
Friday, November 15, 2013 at 11:07 o'clock a.m.

William F. Daniels, RPR/CP CM d/b/a
ACCURATE REPORTING OF INDIANA
12922 Brighton Avenue
Carmel, Indiana 46032
(317) 848-0088

APPEARANCES: COMMISSION MEMBERS: 2 David C. Carter, Chairman 3 Barry Baynard Steven A. Ramos 4 Charles D. Gidney 5 INDIANA CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION 6 By Jamal Smith, Director/Secretary 7 & Akia Haynes, Dep. Director Indiana Government Center North 100 North Senate Avenue, Room N103 8 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 On behalf of the Commission. 9 10 OTHER COMMISSION STAFF PRESENT: 11 Noell Allen Pamela Cook 12 Debbie Rincones-Chavez 13 ALSO PRESENT: 14 John S. (Jay) Mercer 15 Frederick S. Bremer Aleesha Bullock 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

11:07 o'clock a.m. November 15, 2013 2 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. I'd like to 3 call the public meeting of the Indiana Civil 4 Right Commission to order. We do have a quorum. 5 There weren't -- were there minutes in the 6 7 packet? They've MS. RINCONES-CHAVEZ: Yes. 8 already been -- at the beginning of the --9 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. If you've 10 had a chance to review the minutes, I will accept 11 12 a motion to accept them. COMM. GIDNEY: So moved. 13 CHAIRMAN CARTER: And a second? 14 COMM. BAYNARD: Second. 15 CHAIRMAN CARTER: All in favor? 16 COMM. RAMOS: Aye. 17 18 COMM. BAYNARD: Aye. 19 COMM. GIDNEY: 20 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. Any opposed? 21 (No response.) 22 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. I just 23

wanted to say a word before the Financial Report. We will proceed through the agenda, and as we may see, if you have an agenda, when we get to the oral argument, after the -- some of the other business, that is G. on the agenda, and so if you would bear with us while we get through this.

Ms. Cook, the Financial Report, please.

MS. COOK: Good morning.

MR. SMITH: Good morning.

COMM. GIDNEY: Good morning.

MS. HAYNES: Good morning.

MS. COOK: If you haven't had an opportunity to review the October Financial Report, it's located in your packet. So far in the previous month, there've been no significant changes, and if you have any questions regarding the report, I'd like to go ahead and entertain those at this time.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Any questions?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Hearing none, thank you, Ms. Cook.

Old Business, Reports by Commissioners on

their Appeals. Do we have anything from 2 Comm. Blackburn? 3 MS. RINCONES-CHAVEZ: 4 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. 5 With that, Comm. Baynard. COMM. BAYNARD: Yes. On the case of 6 7 Sandra Holland versus Methodist Hospital, I would 8 recommend to the Commission that we uphold the 9 Deputy Director's finding of no probable cause. 10 CHAIRMAN CARTER: May I have a motion to accept that? 11 COMM. GIDNEY: So moved. 12 COMM. RAMOS: Second. 13 CHAIRMAN CARTER: All in favor? 14 15 COMM. RAMOS: Aye. 16 COMM. BAYNARD: Aye. 17 COMM. GIDNEY: Aye. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. 18 Any opposed? 19 20 (No response.) CHAIRMAN CARTER: Do we have 21 22 something from Comm. Garcia? 23 MS. RINCONES-CHAVEZ: We do, uphold

the Deputy Director's decision of no probable 2 cause. 3 CHAIRMAN CARTER: All right. May I have a motion to accept that recommendation? 4 5 COMM. RAMOS: So moved. COMM. BAYNARD: Second. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CARTER: All in favor? 8 COMM. RAMOS: Aye. 9 COMM. BAYNARD: Aye. 10 COMM. GIDNEY: Aye. 11 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. 12 Any opposed? 13 (No response.) 14 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Do we have something from Comm. Crenshaw? 15 16 MS. RINCONES-CHAVEZ: I do not. 17 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. We'll 18 continue that. And in my case, Anthony Bowling 19 versus Herman & Kittle Properties, Inc. Conner 20 Court Apartments, my recommendation is that we 21 uphold the Deputy Director's no reasonable cause finding. May I have a motion to accept that? 22 23 COMM. GIDNEY: So moved.

COMM. BAYNARD: Second. 2 CHAIRMAN CARTER: All in favor? 3 COMM. RAMOS: Aye. 4 COMM. BAYNARD: Aye. 5 COMM. GIDNEY: Aye. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. 6 7 Any opposed? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. 10 New Business, we have Assignment of New Appeals. Comm. Ramos, if you would do Samuel 11 12 Idowu versus 111 Home Personal Care Agency, 13 please. COMM. RAMOS: I will do so. 14 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. 15 16 And Comm. Baynard, if -- oh, no, that's There's only one. 17 We have Findings of Fact, Conclusions of 18 Law and Order, Stefanie Barbour versus Solar Tek 19 20 Energy. May I have a motion to accept those findings, et cetera? 21 COMM. GIDNEY: So moved. 22

COMM. RAMOS: Second.

23

1 CHAIRMAN CARTER: All in favor? 2 COMM. RAMOS: Aye. 3 COMM. BAYNARD: Aye. 4 COMM. GIDNEY: Aye. 5 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. 6 Any opposed? 7 (No response.) 8 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Is that -- did you 9 have something to say about that? 10 MS. ALLEN: I do not. I'm here to answer any questions. 11 12 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Oh, okay. there any questions? I'm precipitous about that. 13 Which brings us to the Oral Argument. 14 15 the parties would introduce themselves, please. 16 MR. MERCER: Jay Mercer, with Mercer 17 Belanger, attorneys for Ritter High School. 18 MR. BREMER: Frederick S. Bremer, staff attorney for the Civil Rights Commission. 19 Today with me is the complainant, Aleesha 20 Bullock. 21 CHAIRMAN CARTER: All right. 22 try this again now. You're both here. We can do 23

that. It's -- Cardinal Ritter has entered the objection; is that right?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ MERCER: I'm sorry? Yes, we presented the objection.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: So, you would go first. If you would both take 15 minutes, and then 10 minutes rebuttal time, and then there will be questions from the Commissioners, if there are any.

MR. MERCER: Very good. Thank you.

Again, my name is Jay Mercer. I represent Ritter

High School, and I'm the attorney that tried this

case.

I wanted to explain my absence from the hearing last month. I know you were waiting for me, and I apologize, but I just did not receive notice of it. We had a new address, and so we did not receive that. It had been returned to the Commission.

I also have the benefit of last month's transcript, because Mr. Bremer went first and presented his side, so I do want to address some of those concerns and statements from Mr. Bremer

first.

First of all, Aleesha Bullock was not replaced by Samantha Lynch; okay? And the claim that Aleesha Bullock replaced Ms. Lynch is just -- is not correct, because Aleesha played point guard, and often the coaches would ask her to play -- or she would want to play shooting guard, but she didn't -- she didn't like bringing the ball up the court.

But the position of court -- point guard was replaced in the 2000 year -- 8 year that Aleesha was not on the team by a sophomore Hispanic student, Anita Hernandez. Samantha Lynch, who was the shooting guard on the team the junior year, also is the shooting guard the senior year that Aleesha did not make the team.

It's Samantha Lynch that it has been claimed to be the person that was placed in her position, and that was the argument that Mr. Bremer had made, and also as part of the findings, and there frankly aren't any comparisons between these two players, because one was a shooting guard, the other was a point

guard, and it's the point guard really that
was -- Anita Hernandez that -- really outstanding
performance and preparation coming into the
trials.

2.0

Further, Ritter never claimed that the reason that Bullock was not selected for the 2008 team had anything to do with Lynch. In fact,

Ms. Bullock and her counsel never made that comparison in their arguments until proposed findings came out. According to Aleesha's own testimony, she maintained that Cassie Koors was the person who replaced her, and I'm not going to give you citations to the transcript, because you certainly have the opportunity to review that.

Now, since Aleesha played point guard, she would be compared to Anita Hernandez, who was the Hispanic student I just spoke of, and during the selection process in 2008, one of the main reasons Anita was selected was, because during the tryouts in Michigan -- they played in Michigan that summer, and then also during the summer practices and then leading up through basketball conditioning -- it was Anita who

really had outstanding numbers, according to Coach Clark's testimony.

The decision to select Anita was proven by Anita's performance in the 2008 season. In fact, her statistics were better in every category than Ms. Bullock, Aleesha Bullock, when she was playing as a sophomore in 2008, than when Ms. Bullock was playing in 2007, in every category.

The next point I want to make is Aleesha allegedly was not getting along with Samantha Smith [sic], and this was the reason that she was replaced, and that's why Aleesha Bullock didn't make the team. And that's absolutely incorrect, and that hasn't been argued, either.

Mr. Bremer said to you during your -- the hearing last time the ultimate reason for Mr. Clark's decision was because Aleesha was not getting along with Samantha Lynch, and that simply is not correct, and that's not what the record shows. Coach Clark and Coach Davis and Coach Finke and Coach Alley all testified that the tryout criteria were based on effort,

commitment, athletic ability, skill, off-season improvement, attitude, behavior and leadership skills.

Other players who testified at the hearing agreed that those were the criteria, and if you'll read Ms. Jiles' testimony, she'll say that she understood those were the criteria. Not only that, Ms. Bullock agreed in her testimony that she believed those would be proper criteria.

Coach Clark further testified that prior year statistics were not relevant to his decision making. He testified that the major factor used by the coach was how they were doing at the time of tryouts, which included the improvements they made during summer and off-season play.

Now, during the off-season, the coaches witnessed improvement in Anita Hernandez,

Samantha Lynch, Katie Triplett and Nicole King.

Those were the ones that Coach Clark identified had made substantial improvements over the summer.

Then I quote from the transcript.
"Unfortunately, Aleesha wasn't at summer workouts

very often, and I think, as I mentioned, she may have [made a little improvement], we thought, but she did not improve to the degree that we felt some of [the] other players, especially [the] younger players, [who] were improving as part of that."

So, the coaches had an opportunity to observe the players after the season was over.

Both the findings of fact and Mr. Bremer have all been arguing the comparison should be to the statistics that Aleesha had during her junior year, but they just weren't relevant at that time, because the players were a year more seasoned, but unfortunately, Aleesha didn't move up with them.

Coach Clark and Coach Davis and Coach

Allen [sic] and Coach Finke -- and I will point

out that Coach Davis is an African-American,

because I wanted you to have an understanding of

that if it wasn't -- if it's not clear from the

transcript -- all articulated the evaluation

process, and the reason that Bullock was not

selected was not because Samantha Lynch was

not -- was one of them. They said that was not part of their decision.

So, where does this whole argument that Samantha Lynch and her not getting along with Bullock comes -- why is that the ultimate reason? Why would the findings of fact suggest that? Well, this is where it comes from, and I think it's just been taken out of context.

During the deposition, Mr. Bremer was asking Coach Clark specifically what it was that -- why he didn't put Aleesha on the team in a limited capacity, such as a manager, such as a limited capacity, or just sit on the bench, because she would still have exposure.

That was the question he was asking, and what he said was basically he was concerned about the team's dynamic, that he would have one person sitting on the bench and not really being part of the team. He was concerned about that team dynamic. He was concerned that Aleesha may not get along well, and it wasn't fair to Aleesha.

Those are the things he was talking about, and he was talking about the fact -- and I'd even

quote from the transcript here, but Mr. Bremer's trying to get Coach Clark to suggest that Aleesha's relationship with Ms. Lynch was somehow involved in this, and this is -- I want to read the transcript, because it's very important.

Question, Bremer: "Did you understand when you were answering these questions or making these statements that I was inquiring as to whether or not...you had these meetings after the tryouts, whether or not the alternative [of] which [was] discussed much today, of letting Aleesha be a member of the team with the thought that she might...be able to play as much as, maybe, she expected?"

Clark answered: "[That was] considered?"
Bremer, question: "Yes."

Clark, answer: "That was considered for all the players that we cut."

Question, Bremer: "So in her situation, though, it looked like, and I'll ask you: Her relationship with [other members of the team] was a big factor in not letting her be on the team even in [a] limited capacity?"

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

"I think that [I] would have made Answer: [it not made for a] good team chemistry...we had that year."

"And that weighed against Question: [your] decision to let her [go], even though you considered it, to let Aleesha be on the team in some limited role like that?"

> Answer: "Yes."

Ouestion, Bremer: That was heavily in the mix against...her [to] do that, letting her be on the team in that capacity?"

Clark, answer: I don't know that I would say 'heavily'. It was a factor. When we went through leadership, effort, commitment, all the things with...the players. When the decision was being kicked around as it [was] looking as though Aleesha was not going to be able to make the team, then the thing as [the] coaches we needed to decide...as a senior, is there anything...we can [have her] do [to keep her working, to keep] her on the team, less minutes, team manager, recordkeep[er], all those things.

"From a team-chemistry standpoint, I don't

23

22

think it would have been the best thing for our team to have kept her around in a limited-role capacity. I don't believe she would have been happy with it, based on how she reacted when she was off the court and based on how she interacted with some of the other team members."

That is the testimony that has been taken out of context to suggest that his decision not to put Aleesha on the team was because of her team chemistry, and the team chemistry has somehow been twisted into this idea that she didn't get along with Lynch, because when they asked at deposition who did Aleesha not get along with, it so happens he identified Lynch, but I don't understand how it all comes together, and you'll have to decide for yourselves.

So, now the other question is: How can Samantha Lynch not be getting along with Aleesha, what they are calling the ultimate reason that Coach Clark made his decision, be it pretext, when it was never even offered as a reason? How can you have a pretext if it was never offered as a reason?

And again, Mr. Bullock and Mrs. Bullock have testified that the meeting with Coach Clark that they asked to hold after she did not make the team, that they remember Coach Clark identifying the following reasons: A, concern for Aleesha's commitment to play basketball because of her election to begin playing junior varsity soccer midseason during the fall of 2007 and dropping out of basketball conditioning.

She started basketball conditioning, she didn't like it, and she went and joined midseason the junior varsity soccer team. She hadn't played soccer since seventh grade, and she told others she did it to get out of basketball conditioning. That was a concern for the coaches, and they talked to her about it.

Two, younger sophomore players were playing better than Aleesha Bullock at every level. Anita Hernandez proved it in the 2008 season. She was better in every stat, every stat.

Coach Clark said he wanted to advance the younger players who were developing quicker, and

prepare them for a future team. That team had its best season. They were 14 and 8. The year before, they were -- I'll get to those statistics, I don't want to misquote them, but they had very poor seasons prior to that. Clark was looking to define the team, and he did define that team, and the team went on very successfully.

Aleesha's failure to participate in the summer workouts and conditioning was one of the things that was cited, and Aleesha says that the reason she couldn't do that is because she had to work. Well, the other girls that came to the summer practices also had summer jobs. They were able it make the commitment.

Need to develop younger players, and
Aleesha did not play well at the Michigan
tryouts. Aleesha, at the Michigan tryouts, which
was during the summer, the team was there, she
did not have ball control. She lost the ball all
of the time, and at that point in time she was
having a terrible time with ball control and
bringing it up, and the coaches were very

concerned about that. They did not see improvement. Actually they saw her going a little backwards.

The next issue that Mr. Bremer pointed out and I want to dispute, he said that the proposed findings ignore the selection criteria. Well, I think Mr. Bremer and the proposed findings do ignore the selection criteria. The selection criteria is the -- he quotes, and he gave you -- he listed three criteria that you should look at.

One was the inability to engage in competitive play, the lack of experience in competitive play, or the failure to try out for the team. Those are the three criteria that he says that you should consider. Well, this is a very oversimplistic view of what the coaches did.

All of these girls had the ability to play competitively, they all had some experience, and they all -- and there were some that just didn't come up. So, it wasn't a matter of whether they could play; it was a matter of what degree they could play, how -- who was the best of the best. So, I believe the criteria that Mr. Bremer

suggested you use is not correct.

Now, I want to go through the selection criteria point by point to show you what all of the coaches testified. First, effort and commitment of Aleesha. The coaches said her concern for her commitment in playing soccer during the conditioning period.

Two, Bullock's failure to take advantage of the summer workouts. Next, Bullock had others -- said to others that she was participating in soccer to get out of basketball conditioning, so, of course, that was a big concern to the coaches, because the summertime is when the team comes together. That's what the coaches said, when the teams come together in the summer. That's when they begin to gel, and if she wasn't there, she wasn't part of that gelling. Anita Hernandez was at every one of them.

Attendance records show that Bullock only attended three out of the 14 summer tryout workouts. Other girls had summer jobs also.

Athletic ability and off-season

improvement. The coaches said this: At the Michigan summer camp, they were concerned for her ball handling skills. They also said that Bullock was warned there would be consequences to her choosing soccer over basketball conditioning. They also said that Bullock's focus during the fall prior tryouts was playing junior varsity, not varsity basketball.

Team -- her teammate Jiles testified that Bullock's game was not consistent and had not shown improvement through the summer.

Attitude and behavior of Aleesha. Her teammate, Erica Jiles, testified that her attitude, quote, her attitude, she was -- she wasn't serious during tryouts. I think it was a two-day tryout, but during the whole time, I don't remember her being serious.

She wasn't serious about the tryouts.

They never cut anybody --

CHAIRMAN CARTER: One minute.

MR. MERCER: Okay. They never cut anybody from the team before.

I do want to move forward to the issue of

damages, since I think I've maybe spent a little bit too much time on the other one, and I want to point out the issue of damages.

First of all, there has not been any evidence in the record that Aleesha Bullock could have competed at this level. There's no evidence in the record that she could have retained a scholarship from a Division I or Division II school. There's no expert testimony, she didn't testify that she could, nobody testified that she was able to. It's pure speculation that she could.

But she did play for a Division III school. And how often did she play? Not every game, and the games she did play in, she only played an average of four minutes a game. You would think that somebody who could compete and get a scholarship at a Division I or Division II school would certainly be competing at the top level and playing every minute at a Division III school, but she didn't. She was not capable of doing so.

There was one person who did testify with

regards to Aleesha's ability, and that was

Ms. Jiles. Ms. Jiles did go on and get a

scholarship from a Division II school, a

full-ride scholarship, and it was her opinion

that Aleesha did not have the skills to

participate at that level, and that is the

testimony in the record with regards to her

ability.

2.0

The other thing is, Aleesha could not have the damages that have been awarded, \$75,000. She says that she's only had to take out a loan of \$25,000. That's the only amount that she's testified to. All of the other amounts are amounts that her parents have paid. So, I don't understand how this Board could possibly award damages that her parents -- her college education. She has not testified she has to pay back her parents, and Mr. Bremer even acknowledged that point in the hearing last month, so I don't think that's an issue.

With regards to -- okay. So, if it's not \$25,000 and she couldn't have possibly gotten a scholarship anyway, then what is the basis of the

award? Because the findings don't say what the basis of the award is. Well, Mr. Bremer suggests emotional damages. Well, he also conceded at last month's meeting that \$75,000 for emotional damages would be an extreme number for this Board to award, and I have to agree with him.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.

Mr. Bremer?

You'll get an opportunity to say --

MR. MERCER: I understand.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: -- more after

Mr. Bremer.

MR. BREMER: I thought it was interesting when we were waiting to do this argument today that you were voting on Commissioner Reviews of Appeals. This case has had a long history with the Commission, and one of those steps was that there was a no probable cause finding by the Director, and then a review by a Commissioner, and it tipped it in favor of it being a probable cause thing.

This case has had some narrow victories and points of decision, no less than today.

that tipped a little bit one direction than what Mr. Mercer is insisting on.

What we have here is a coach explaining

There was something that happened here, something

What we have here is a coach explaining himself in proceedings before the Indiana Civil Rights Commission, and he has definitely identified a be-all point, a decision point, that pushed this in favor of him not letting Aleesha Bullock, here, to be on that team in any capacity.

There was evidence in the record that there's no limit, at least not during -- there would be in Sectionals a 13-member limit, but no limit as to the numbers that could be on the team, and he identified very clearly in his testimony that yeah, it was -- it was considered, as it would be on anyone who improved themselves in play like Aleesha Bullock had done, to take a second look at this and see whether we could just have them there some way on the team anyway.

And he identified the relationship with Samantha Lynch, a Caucasian team member, that there was something going on between the two of

them that finally pushed Aleesha Bullock out of the running and led to a decision that she was not going to be able to be on that varsity team that she had been on in the previous two seasons, both as a sophomore and as a junior.

2.0

She was not going to get to be on that team anymore. Samantha Lynch had been on that team with her for those two seasons. So, it was some kind of a problem with Samantha Lynch that just pushed her completely out, not allowed her to even sit there with her team.

What does this mean to a senior in high school? That's a time when, if we could barely remember what it was like, that it seemed so special, it seemed like everything was so significant, and if we were in this position that Aleesha was at that time, to not be able to be with your own classmates, let's just say it's almost like being put out of the school in your senior year, you've been with these people for three years. It's very emotional. So, there was emotional damage from this.

Her inability to really get involved in

trying out for basketball in schools, higher educational settings, was reflected in the evidence as due, in part, to how discouraged she was by this incident of not being able to go on and play with her team.

She had a very good career. She had been the leading scorer in the sophomore year, on the varsity team already in the sophomore year. She was the second-highest scorer in the junior year, leading the third-highest scorer by 84 points that season. Who was that third one? That was Samantha Lynch.

She was the leading person in steals in her sophomore year. There was a lot of talent there. She was described in a publication, industry publication, going into that final year, as being part of a three-person, very strong backcourt. She was praised by her own coach and published in the newspaper that she would have turned in a tremendous job as a scorer.

We ask the Commission to understand, this may be a very close decision as to what was going on here, but it wasn't just a matter of how well

you did in the summer practice and whether you just went to all of them, and which were not mandatory, by the way, anyway, nor could they be mandatory, as it turned out.

But it's more than that. It's a sense of expectation, a sense of promise, a sense of being severely disappointed that she was not allowed to go on and prove herself in this final year.

There was nothing so bad about Aleesha Bullock, about anything that happened that summer or didn't happen that summer, that would cause this Caucasian coach to just say, "You can't even be with your friends on this basketball team for this final season."

But a coach who had the authority to say, "I'm not going to put in to play because you're not doing well. I'm just going to bench you."

But here's a competitor and may have done very well, if given the chance on that -- on that year.

Now, when it came down to explaining -Coach Clark explaining why, why did this happen,
in the meeting with the parents only two days

after it happened, he was talking about, well, it just wasn't good for the team, that he had talent in the -- who were sophomores, who were playing as well as Aleesha Bullock in her junior year, and he saw that there was a future for an even better team if he could get this talent going through at that point.

All of it was explained in those terms.

There was discussion about summer practices and things like that as well. Not mentioned, not mentioned, was that ultimate thing that tipped it one way or the other. What was that? Not getting along with Samantha Lynch. Samantha Lynch was Caucasian. Never mentioned.

That's why the Complainant asks that the Commission go along with the Administrative Law Judge in characterizing Judge Clark's [sic] characterization of this as just simply team dynamics and getting a better -- getting a better team down through the years, as a pretext, if he really -- if he didn't really tell the reason that he gave once this case got into depositions and in the transcript of the hearing. He didn't.

2 3 4

Then he started talking about, when he was pressed, when he was pressed, "Well, couldn't you have just put her on bench anyway?" Questions like that. Even if he didn't let her play very much, "Why didn't you do that?" When pressed, down to that last question, a valid one, he started talking about her not getting along with Samantha Lynch.

Now, Samantha Lynch not getting along with her, she was a poorer scorer than Aleesha Bullock, but she got to stay on the team.

Aleesha Bullock did not get to. They had interpersonal problems. Well, who's supposed to suffer, the white low scorer or the black high scorer? Who ended up being the one that ended up with all of the damage? It was Aleesha Bullock.

In terms of the damages, the award is -does need to be explained as to what the \$75,000
is for. There is information in the order as to
what -- what happened, but I would agree with
Mr. Mercer, it couldn't possibly be for the
parents, they were not -- what they paid for
student loans to get her in -- through college,

that she wasn't able to pay for through a sports scholarship.

They were not parties to the case. Only Aleesha Bullock's figure would be relevant here. But I -- that just needs to be determined as to if that sum was for anything other than emotional distress. She -- I mean we couldn't prove that she would get a scholarship. I mean how do you prove that? She wasn't there in the final year.

I mean unless you had some -- I mean she was just so good -- you bring in somebody who was a professional in this area, said, "Well, there's no way she'll be a runaway. She'll get a scholarship." That's difficult to say.

But putting that in the findings about how much she had to spend out of her own pocket and incur in student loans and her parents did, that shows how distressing -- the impact and distress upon her, that she had hope. She came out of this thing she didn't have hope. It was all gone. She didn't even get to play with her own team.

Thank you.

Mr. Bremer.

MI. Blemer

Mr. Mercer, ten minutes.

CHAIRMAN CARTER:

MR. MERCER: Thank you.

Thank you,

Well, I'm not going to re-read Coach Clark's deposition or transcript testimony, but he clearly says that it was -- the reason he did not have -- he clearly says in there that they made a decision about new players were done.

Then they looked at the ones that were going to be cut and tried to decide was it worth bringing some of them back onto the team just in a limited role, and they were concerned about Aleesha. They wanted to see -- because they knew this was going to be difficult for Aleesha and her family in her senior year.

But what did he say the reason they didn't do it? He didn't say it was because she was black or because Samantha Lynch was white.

Obviously there's no evidence in the record.

What he said was he was concerned for team chemistry.

So, let's just assume that the reason

Mr. Bremer says, that Samantha Lynch and Aleesha Bullock didn't get along, and he picked one or the other. Let just assume that's true; all right? Samantha Lynch was the captain of the team; okay? And if they didn't get along, what do you think it would do to team chemistry if he put somebody on the team that didn't get along with her?

I think there's another reason, not racial discrimination. There's another reason. You don't put people that don't get along on the same team. That just makes a lot of sense, but that's not racial discrimination. If Aleesha would have thought that she was entitled to play for a Division I team or a Division II team, you'd think she would have tried out for it. Did she ever try out for one? No, ever, no. Did she make application? No.

She was at Indiana Univers -- or Indiana

State University, and she called them and said -and when she got there, not before, not the whole
semester that she knew she was going to -- she
knew where she was going to school, never called

the coach or anything. Once she got there, she called him and said, "Hey, can I try out?" And they said, "We'll get back to you." And they never got back to her. That's the last time she tried to get a scholarship for basketball.

What she testified to is that she decided to go to Trine University. Why Trine University? One is because her sister was there, but two, because they gave her a scholarship, a financial-needs scholarship. I didn't get her to testify as to what -- that amount. She said a substantial scholarship.

So, she chose to go where her sister was,
Trine University, she didn't try out for the team
at Indiana State for the next year, and she
played basketball there. But in her senior
year -- or junior year there -- and she was just
finishing her senior year at the time of the
testimony, so we don't have that -- she was only
playing in a limited number of games, and when
she played in a limited number of games, she was
only playing for four minutes, and her statistics
are not very pretty, and that's in the record.

3 4 5

tell you. In her sophomore year, the team won one game, and 19. What did the team look like?

Well, no one was trying out for the team. They didn't have cuts that year, because they had poor coaching, had a lot of coaches come in and not do a very good job.

So, the next year, Aleesha's junior year,

Comm. Crenshaw asked a question at the

last meeting, and he wanted to know, "Well, what

was the season like without Aleesha?"

2006-2007, they won 8 games and lost 14 games.

Aleesha was a good scorer, but Aleesha also had some faults, and that's clearly in the statistics, and I'll go over those in a moment.

In her senior year, she didn't make the team, as we know. In that year, the team went 14 and 8, significant improvement. Why? Because of Anita Hernandez at point guard and Samantha Smith [sic] as the team leader.

Comm. Baynard asked regarding -- asked Aleesha, "In your written testimony, the coach cited that you had problems turning the ball over and making mistakes," and so, wanted to know how

Bullock was that question, and she didn't give a clear answer. She said, "Well, we had about the same number of turnovers." Lynch that year had 57 turnovers, Bullock had 69.

What other relevant statistics for the

often that was. Well, she didn't give a very

clear answer. When we were comparing Lynch and

what other relevant statistics for the year that Lynch and Bullock played together?

Lynch had 45 assists, Bullock, 22. Lynch led the team in steals with 44, Bullock, 26. Lynch had the highest free-throw percentage for the team, at 49 percent, Bullock, 32 percent.

Other statistics that year, comparing her to Anita Hernandez. Point guard, she as a freshman, Hernandez, her shooting percentage was 30 percent, compared to Bullock's 40 percent. Her free-throw percentage was equal, but her steals were 34, compared to Bullock's 26. That was the developing player, and then she went on the next season to make -- actually have some records for the school under statistics. So, that's Hernandez.

Lynch was not competing for Bullock's

position. If you accept the fact they weren't getting along and that's why Aleesha didn't make the team, so be it, but that's not racial discrimination, because there is a valid reason for that.

2.0

But that wasn't the reason that the coaches cited. Every one of the coaches has given specific reasons and how they rated the students and how she wasn't serious at tryouts, how she wasn't serious in coming conditioning, how she wasn't serious by not coming -- playing junior varsity soccer. In midseason she joined that team. She wasn't dedicated to this team, and she was telling the coaches loud and clear, "I don't really care that much about basketball."

Well, she didn't make the team, and she was very upset about that, but she should have been serious going into those tryouts, and a different conclusion may have occurred.

I believe we've been able to show that the reasons given here are many: Aleesha's attitude, her inability to improve through that year.

There are many, many, many reasons that have been

given, all of which are backed up by testimony of four different coaches.

And what evidence do we have of racial discrimination? None. There is merely a supposition by Mr. Bremer that the only conclusion you can reach that they couldn't put her on the team in a limited capacity, as a manager, or to sit on the bench, was because they picked Samantha Lynch over her, and they're not comparable at all.

I respectfully say that there is no racial discrimination, there's not even a scintilla of evidence to support that, and that this needs to be reversed.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.

Mr. Bremer, ten minutes.

MR. BREMER: Well, there's a lot of dispute about what was discussed at that meeting with the parents two days after Judge -- or Coach Clark decided not to let Bullock be on the team, but one of the things that was very clear, Mrs. Bullock asked Coach Clark, "Is there any way

she can be on the team, even to just sit on the bench if she doesn't play well?" And he acknowledged that there was an inquiry like that.

Now, we're not saying that Samantha Lynch was chosen over Aleesha Bullock for the particular position he had in mind for the team. We're comparing the shooting records of both contestants, both team members, and we're saying that there was something about the situation that did cry out for an explanation. It's a prima facie case. Something was going on here that was not right.

The -- there was -- a tremendous difference was being made about Aleesha Bullock being on this team and Samantha Lynch getting to be on the team. Aleesha Bullock didn't get to get on the team.

So, we're saying when there was a great opportunity for Coach Clark to tell Momma, "Look, she doesn't get along with Samantha Lynch.

Samantha Lynch, even though she's played basketball with her continuously on teams since the fourth grade, I have come to the conclusion

that she does not get along with Samantha Lynch, and therefore, we cannot let her be on the team with her friends during her senior year."

He didn't say that. He didn't explain that. Why? Why did he just give this other thing about, "Well, I just see that I can get these other people to make a stronger team.

They're younger, I'll have them longer, and that's the reason why."

That's the stuff of pretext. If that was what was in his mind as to that last analysis, just to get her on the bench, just to get her on the bench again for that final year, he says she didn't get along with Samantha Lynch. If that was it, why didn't he tell Momma when she was asking, when she was pressing it to that degree?

She was pressing it to the degree of saying, "You wouldn't have to let her play very much even. Can't you just let her be on the team in some capacity?" He didn't tell her anything about that. He didn't tell Mr. Bullock. They were both there. And this was when all of this reason -- all of these reasons should have been

very prominent had his mind. I mean they had a meeting at which he could explain himself, and they did press him with questions.

Now, she said, Aleesha Bullock said, on page 88 of the transcript, that she avoided trying out for the college teams where she eventually went. She went for a while to Indiana State University, and then to the division with Trine. She explained why. She said she avoided trying out to be on college basketball teams out of the fear of just being rejected again, to her humiliation.

It had an effect on her that wasn't just sadness because of not being able to be on the team, but she -- this carried through, in what she was -- maybe would have been able to do it ordinarily, but she had been rejected, and you know that's not a pleasant experience, and it wasn't for her, and it played out in her life.

She went on, went to school, did not get the scholarship, incurred student loans like so many do, always, I'm sure, wondering what would have happened had she just been given a chance to

improve herself.

Her parents were very interested in this young lady. They came to all of the games, they hauled the food, they hauled the kids, they did all of the things that -- not just fans of their daughter, but they were more or less like parents of the team in terms of some of the stuff they were doing.

They were accessible to Coach Clark. He never approached them and said, "Hey, she's not coming to summer practice. Do you know about that? Do you know how that could affect her?"

Nothing was said like that. They were just getting a bolt out of the blue, and they were more shocked, I think, than Aleesha was.

This is -- this is a matter that's -- it seems small. It seems like, "Well, you know, it's just kids playing on a basketball game -- basketball team," but it's big. It's a big thing, and especially if it is something that lines up with the possibility that there was racial discrimination. There's something about these facts that could be consistent with that

conclusion.

As you know, we don't have a way to get inside the minds of everybody to know whether there was a racial motive involved in it, but there's a framework that we go through, and then when it comes to the last thing, if it doesn't stand up, that reason doesn't stand up to analysis, it's like, "You're giving one reason and then you come later and you say something else. Why didn't you just tell the mother then what the reason was?"

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you,

Mr. Bremer.

Are there questions?

COMM. RAMOS: I have two questions.

Is there -- and I can't remember all of the elements that were in the documentation, but was there any evidence that indicated that the coach or coaches worked with -- and you had mentioned this a little bit --

THE REPORTER: Could you repeat that?

COMM. RAMOS: Sure.

2.2

2 3 4

Is there any evidence in documentation that references the coaches working with Aleesha to talk about the summer program, "Why aren't you there?" Because any outstanding coach will always work with their kids to make sure that they're on track, to help to guide them, and then talk with the parents frankly. Is there anything in there that really addresses that they had made effort to help?

MR. MERCER: Two days after she started soccer practice, Coach Finke and Coach Clark approached her and had a meeting with her, and they asked her why it was that she had selected soccer over basketball conditioning that had just started, and she said it was because it was her senior year and she wanted to have fun.

And they said to her that there are other players on the team who in fact were getting better, and it was very competitive at the guard position this year, that they were going to have to cut some people, and that they were very concerned with her commitment in playing on the junior varsity soccer team midway through the

_

season -- this want even the varsity soccer

team -- junior varsity soccer team, to have fun,

and they told her that there may be consequences

as a result of that, and she decided to play

junior varsity soccer.

MR. BREMER: And there was no approach to the parents -- that was part of your question -- as to that episode.

MR. MERCER: Actually that's a disputed issue of fact. Coach Clark said that after -- that same evening, Mrs. Bullock called him while he was at school, and he went to the office and returned that phone call and told her about -- because Aleesha came home very upset about him talking to her about the soccer.

And at the hearing, he testified to that conversation. Ms. Bullock -- Mrs. Bullock, her mother -- testified it never happened. So, it's a disputed issue of fact.

COMM. RAMOS: The reason to do the summer -- the soccer camp, can you -- can you explain the logic behind doing summer camp verses the --

MR. BREMER: Yes. Conditioning is conditioning. It's athletic activity. The students in these schools were encouraged to be in more than one sports program. There was -- and it wasn't any big crime to be both in the basketball program and the soccer program. The seasons don't overlap by very much, in fact, maybe not at all.

And so, she was out there physically conditioning herself, it was a very rigorous game of soccer, taking part in that. She had played soccer earlier in her school career, like in grade school, quite a bit. So, it wasn't something un -- she knew that she had to have exercise.

She was -- she was doing something. She thought she was doing the strenuous things that she should do to gain conditioning, and the schools do not make you go to any of these practices, as a matter -- as a mandatory course. She didn't have to go out for the soccer program, but she was getting her conditioning, and she believes in that situation.

COMM. RAMOS: Doesn't Ms. Bullock acknowledge the conversation with the coaches and the dialogue relating to, you know, their concern that she is not necessarily maybe in that right field, she should be on the -- in basketball?

MR. BREMER: May I have her speak to that? I can't recall what she said.

MS. BULLOCK: When Coach Clark came and talked to me, it was more just like, "Oh, we heard you're playing soccer." It wasn't like a big issue. I think it was actually the soc -- the varsity soccer coach, so it wasn't like, "I can't believe you're doing this. Why would you do this? There's going to be consequences." I was never told that there would be consequences or threatened of being cut. They never really communicated that with me. It was just, "We heard you joined the soccer team. Is this true?" Like that's all it was.

MR. MERCER: If I might also answer those questions, the hand -- the student handbook that was introduced into evidence specifically says, "All summer activities are voluntary, but

are highly recommended for the success of our athletic program. Every athlete should plan to attend as often as possible."

And the reason for that is because IHSAA doesn't allow for organized practices during this period of time, so schools have these unorganized practices, and this is the way the school is influencing the students to come. It was very clear to Ms. Bullock that she was to be in basketball conditioning.

The other thing that I would testify to is the coaches -- this question was asked by

Mr. Bremer and also by me, you know, "Is basketball conditioning and soccer conditioning the same, or playing soccer?" The answer was no. There was very specific things they did at basketball conditioning. There were skills. She needed to work on these skills, and playing soccer was to her detriment.

Also, Ms. Bullock's testimony right now is inconsistent with her testimony during her -- or what's in the transcript.

COMM. RAMOS: How many people were on

the basketball team?

MR. MERCER: I don't recall how many were on the basketball team, but it is a question I wanted to address, because Coach Clark did talk about that issue, and he explained that he had an up-and-coming team. He had some very good younger players he was wanting to develop. He ended up being coach of the year. He was coach of the year.

I mean this was -- he really had an outstanding team and they went to Sectionals.

So, I mean he really did what he promised he would do, and so his explanation as to why they didn't have Aleesha come onto that team is, even if she played a minute in a game, that was a minute that one of the up-and-coming stars didn't have to play, because there can only be five people on the court at a time.

So, it doesn't matter how many people that are on your team. If they are the up-and-comers, you play them all of the time if you can, and any minute they're not on than basketball court is a time that they don't have an opportunity to play,

and that's how he explained it in his deposition 2 and in testimony. 3 MR. BREMER: There was evidence that we put in of several of the games during the 4 5 season where the players -- there were players that were absent. There were plenty of б 7 opportunities to send someone like Aleesha into a game during that season. 8 9 COMM. RAMOS: I have no further 10 questions. 11 COMM. BAYNARD: I want to go back to the conditioning. What does basketball 12 conditioning consist of? 13 MS. BULLOCK: The time that --14 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Excuse me. 15 Ιf we're going to consider Ms. Bullock's testimony, 16 17 we have to swear her in. So, would you raise your right hand, I 18 19 quess? (Aleesha Bullock sworn.) 20 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Is that 21 22 enough? 23 MS. ALLEN: Yes. Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. 2 Back to Barry. 3 COMM. BAYNARD: Okay. So, the 4 question is: What does basketball conditioning 5 consist of? 6 MS. BULLOCK: The basketball 7 conditioning at the beginning of the school year; 8 is that what you mean? Like the --9 COMM. BAYNARD: Uh-huh. 10 MS. BULLOCK: Those conditionings were just running. You would -- or we would run 11 12 around the neighborhood, or we would have gone to the Garadini [phonetic] track and we'd just run 13 up and down that. So, the conditioning right 14 15 before the season began was just strictly running, and we might do like different sprints 16 17 or something, but it was just mostly running. COMM. BAYNARD: Now, is the reason 18 19 why it was mostly running is because the ISHAA 20 [sic] does not allow to you touch a basketball --21 MS. BULLOCK: Right. You can't --COMM. BAYNARD: -- until the 22 23 basketball season actually opens?

MS. BULLOCK: Right. You can't --2 there's rules against like organized practices, 3 so if he was in the gym while we were doing 4 drills or something like that, that would be 5 illegal, so it just mostly running, because it 6 would have been illegal for us to be in the gym 7 with him doing drills and scrimmaging and stuff 8 like that. COMM. BAYNARD: 9 So, how does that 10 compare to playing soccer? The soccer season and 11 basketball season are different seasons; correct? MS. BULLOCK: Correct. 12 13 COMM. BAYNARD: So, while soccer 14 season's in full force, basketball season has not 15 quite started? 16 MS. BULLOCK: Correct. 17 COMM. BAYNARD: And the basketball season is actually in just the preseason and 18 conditioning; correct? 19 20 MS. BULLOCK: Yes. COMM. BAYNARD: So, how does it 21 22 compare?

MS. BULLOCK: With soccer?

I was

23

running a lot. We had practice every day. With 2 conditioning, I think conditionings maybe were 3 two or three times a week. With soccer, I had 4 practice every day, and if I did not practice, I 5 had a game. You only have one day off a week. 6 So, I was always running. I started -- when I 7 joined the team, immediately I started. wasn't like -- I played midfield, so I was 8 9 running, helping out on defense and playing 10 offense, so it wasn't like I was just sitting 11 back and not doing conditioning. I had practice 12 or games, and I was constantly running. 13 COMM. BAYNARD: So, midfield position, that allows you to run --14 15 MS. BULLOCK: Both sides. COMM. BAYNARD: -- both sides of the 16 17 field --18 MS. BULLOCK: Right. 19 COMM. BAYNARD: -- correct? 20 MS. BULLOCK: Yes. 21 COMM. BAYNARD: Okay. Why did you choose soccer in your senior season? I know some 22 kids, in their senior season, decide to maybe 23

another reason?

take up something they might miss out on. Is that the reason why you chose soccer --

MS. BULLOCK: Yes, it was --

COMM. BAYNARD: -- or was there

MS. BULLOCK: -- part of the reason.

A lot of my closer friends had played soccer, and they needed people. They asked me to play, and I was more than willing to play. I felt that it wouldn't hurt me any, it could only help me, because I was still conditioning, I was actually conditioning more, and I would be involved in something, so I felt that it would benefit me also.

COMM. BAYNARD: Okay. Thank you.

COMM. RAMOS: Mr. Mercer, you indicated that the coach and coaches had indicated that the other sophomores were advancing more in the summer, and yet they're not even playing basketball, they're just running. How do you make that determination when they're not touching a ball?

MR. MERCER: Well, they were doing

summer practices, and they did go to Michigan for 2 a camp, and it was primarily at the Michigan 3 camp, where they played like 14 or 15 games, that the coaches really showed -- it showed how much 4 5 the other players were advancing, and that's his 6 testimony to that. 7 COMM. RAMOS: Okay. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Comm. Gidney, do 8 9 you have any questions? 10 COMM. GIDNEY: I have no questions. 11 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Any other 12 questions? 13 (No response.) 14 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Hearing none, thank 15 you for your presentations, and you'll be advised 16 of our determination in due course. 17 MR. MERCER: Thank you for your 18 consideration. 19 CHAIRMAN CARTER: And in our agenda, 20 we have no Oral Argument -- I mean we have no Consent Agreements, which I guess brings us to 21

(Oral argument participants left.)

the Administrative Update.

22

23

MR. SMITH: Good afternoon.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Good afternoon.

MR. SMITH: We have -- just a second.

(Pause in proceedings.)

MR. SMITH: Okay. A few things in the Administrative Report to go over, some of the normal pieces, a lot of the outreach. The agency is still very active. In fact, we have a few things, as we close out the calendar year, that we'll be involved in, including what we call the Resource Fair that we'll be putting on in South Bend next week, 21st, which, I believe, is a Thursday.

The idea there is to partner, very similar to what we've done over the past couple of years with many of the community organizations as well as state organizations, to make sure that we introduce and/or provide resources to that specific community, as many of those resources as we can. So, we're going to continue to do that.

We will also be having the agency retreat. We'll do -- we'll focus on a lot of the training for the staff, including some of the -- what I

consider to be our facility skills: Customer service, crisis management, things of that nature that we'll do. That date is in mid-December.

We'll try to get it away from Christmas, obviously, but a little bit away from Thanksgiving as well.

And then we'll close out the year. I think you guys will be pleased with the amount of activity that we've done for the year.

That leads me to the report. I e-mailed it to the commission, I think, yesterday afternoon. If you haven't had an opportunity to take a look at it, I'd like to just point out a couple of things. The report itself outlines all of the activity that the agency has been involved in throughout the year.

The first few pages are just kind of standard that describe and outline what the agency is and does, for those who may not know.

Outlines the agency functions, et cetera, as well as agency programs and the makeup of the agency as a whole.

The e-mail version of this also, if you

would look at the bottom of page 7, will outline the Commission meetings, and there's an attachment, a link, there that if you click on will take you to the minutes, so you guys will have the extended minutes, not just the antiquated version, but the extended minutes for the Commission meetings, so you guys will have an opportunity to review those as well.

Moving forward, one of the things that we wanted to make sure we outlined was the amount of activity as broken down throughout the state.

Comm. Ramos pointed out specifically, for example, Region 6, who has had quite a bit of activity throughout the year. Obviously

Region 5, which is kind of Central Indiana, which involves Indianapolis, which is the bulk of a lot of our activity, is there.

But one of the reasons why this section is so important is that it will help us kind of map out -- or more specifically map out -- what we do moving forward. As we've spoken of before, when we were engaged in the testing program, we'll continue to do outreach programming as well as

events, and the amount of activity, or lack thereof, will give us some insight as to what parts of the state the agency is most needed.

So, this -- this information is extremely vital, and I'm glad that the staff was able to capture this information moving forward.

Any questions so far on the report?

(No response.)

MR. SMITH: Moving to the following page, 9, it talks about a few things to note.

Obviously, the number of complaints that ultimately end up drafted as well as formalized and by way of our agreements with the EEOC and HUD, how that activity influences the agency as well.

But two of the areas that we grade, if you will, the agency's proficiency, which are age cases as well as open cases are there as well.

Proud to say that the age case percentage remains extremely low, zero in most cases. Employment hovered around three percent, which is relatively low. Our target is 15 or below.

Education is five. I always put an

asterisk next to education only because you deal with a lot of time line issues, if someone files a complaint as it relates to a school, we have to go with that school year. Obviously if it's anywhere close to May or June, you're likely not to get any activity until that following August or September, when school actually kicks back in, because of summer vacation.

The same thing holds true for all of the holiday vacations, Christmas vacations, spring vacations, et cetera. So, those always depend on how we get responses back from the respondent there, which would be the schools. But in the areas -- and even that remained at five percent, so we're pretty excited about meeting that target, obviously.

The following few pages just outlines a lot of the activity that we've had as a way of outreach. Of course, as I've reported at previous Commission meetings, we focus on everything from radio and TV PSA's, press releases, our video, our interviews throughout the state. We've had a lot of paid as well as

in-kind media touches.

see.

We have -- are involved in the whole social media phase of things as well, so we've got Facebook, we've got Twitter. The staff is utilizing those as well. We have our direct mailings that we put together in-house and make sure that we get out, and we use some targeted mail that's specific to the communities that we're going into, so we're excited about that.

And then it outlines how all of that ties into our Strategic Plan, which you guys approved at the beginning of last -- of this year, excuse me, and it talks about what those main objectives were, how all of the outreach and all of the community involvement has helped the agency to achieve what those objectives were.

COMM. RAMOS: What it doesn't show is your sample size.

MR. SMITH: Sample size, the way that is articulated, if you look at page 10.

COMM. RAMOS: Nine hundred and -- I

MR. SMITH: And the sample size is

actually fairly large, and we broke it down based upon what that specific outreach would have been.

COMM. RAMOS: Okay.

MR. SMITH: So, if the activity was advertising by way of radio or TV PSA's, we held it around 700. Forty-two thousand for media communications, including our Web site touches and things of that nature, and then the Web-based communication was around nineteen and a half thousand for that.

And if you fast forward to -- well, then

11 and 12 goes through that Strategic

Objectives 1, 2 and 3. It talks about measuring and outcomes of those -- of those three areas.

Specifically, if you go down to Key Performance

Indicators, move from page 12 to 13, it touches on how we progressed from last year to this year and what those -- what that activity looks like in terms of what the agency has done in the subsequent year.

And then our anecdotal results, which come by way of the surveys that we send out whenever we are doing our outreach, whether that be

on-line or in person as we travel throughout the state. You can see what a lot of that feedback has been. I just wanted to touch on a few things in regards to that, and it's really telling, some of the feedback we've gotten. Still a lot of work to do, but we have made some progress.

Two of the main goals of the Strategic

Plan were -- well, to eliminate discrimination

was one, and then to, by way of increasing the

visibility of the agency being point two. Some

of those things stand out. One of the first

questions was, "Does discrimination remain an

issue in your community?" In terms of those who

say they definitely agree with that being an

issue, it dropped a little bit, so we're excited

about that. Still 40 percent is, I would

consider, relatively substantial, so again, a lot

more work for the agency to do, but there is some

improvement there.

Remaining -- the same thing goes when we were -- when we asked, "Does discrimination remain an issue in the State of Indiana?" We dropped a little over ten percent for that.

Still, 50 plus percent is a substantial percentage for those who consider discrimination throughout the entire state as an issue, you know, a high percentage, but take pleasure in the fact that there is some improvement there.

Then some understanding, I thought there was a really big jump in the understanding of what the agency, the Indiana Civil Rights

Commission, is and does. That jumped from 11 to

25 percent, which I thought was extremely good in terms of the jump in the percentage of people who actually understood who we are and what we did.

The same thing for an understanding of the laws that govern our jurisdiction. It jumped from 17 to 30 percent, roughly.

A small percentage in terms of those who say that they've ever been discriminated against. That's still hovering a little over 50 percent, so we need to look at different ways to address that as well.

And then one of the telling things I think directly correlated to the amount of outreach that we've done is what people said or who people

said they would contact assuming they faced situation, and we jumped up to 44 percent from 32 percent. I think it's interesting to note the drop in the number of those who said they would contact their local commissions. That's probably a direct correlation to our activity in certain areas as well.

So, we're excited about some of those numbers. Again, admittedly, there's a ton of work to still do, especially as it relates to discrimination, not just here in Central Indiana, but throughout the state, and what the agency will do is we'll keep involved and adapting, figuring out ways that we will be able to be more present and work at that effort.

The report is sandwiched with some updates as it relates to the Cultural Commissions that we oversee as well, and kind of a condensed version of the reports that they put out, which will also be on-line that talks about some of their objectives and strategic goals that their commissions agreed upon.

And we include those because ultimately

they are a reflection of the overall objectives of the Civil Rights Commission, so they are included there as well, including the MLK Commission, which is no stranger to the agency, and continuing to do well in their efforts.

So, long story short, all in all, really good feedback in terms of the tangible as well as the qualitative data that came back from the report, so we're excited about the work that the agency is doing, as well as the staff, and it's good having you guys involved as much as you are, and we're looking to improve even more in the subsequent months.

And we will have the updated Strategic

Plan at the beginning of the year, in January,

for you guys to review and approve. So, I submit

to you the Fiscal Year 2013 Annual Report for the

ICRC for you guys to approve, unless there's any

additions, omissions or amendments, or any

questions, for that matter.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Do you want us to vote on approving it?

MR. SMITH: Yes, you need to approve

it. Once approved, we will submit this, not only on-line, but it needs to be sent to the General 2 3 Assembly as well as the Governor's Office. 4 CHAIRMAN CARTER: May I have a motion 5 to approve? 6 COMM. RAMOS: So moved. 7 COMM. GIDNEY: Second. 8 CHAIRMAN CARTER: All in favor? 9 COMM. RAMOS: Aye. 10 COMM. BAYNARD: Aye. 11 COMM. GIDNEY: Aye. 12 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. 13 Any opposed? 14 (No response.) 15 MR. SMITH: Thank you. Lastly, we have --16 17 COMM. RAMOS: A comment --18 MR. SMITH: Yes. 19 COMM. RAMOS: -- on this. I thought it was done very well, very professional, and I 20 21 work with a lot of business kind of stuff, and I thought it was done, you know, kind of very high 22 caliber, so I commend you, the Commission and the 23

4 5

staff for helping to pull all of that together.

Outstanding. The success is what we would expect, and you delivered and exceeded some expectations, so thank you for the great work you all do.

MR. SMITH: Thank you. I certainly appreciate that, and we'll send that message to the staff as well, so I certainly appreciate that.

Any other questions or comments before we move on to the next piece?

(No response.)

MR. SMITH: We have a few proposals that we need to present, and I'll have our General Counsel, Ms. Haynes, to come up and give you the legal stance on stuff. In short, I think, in layman's terms, for those of us who didn't spend a million years in college and legal school, the agency consistently receives requests from multiple respondents --

Is that correct?

MS. HAYNES: Yes.

MR. SMITH: -- to extend the amount

1 of time given to respond. Now, statutorily, I 2 believe, you can come on up Akia, and you can talk a little more about this. The Commission is 3 responsible for reviewing and/or allowing said 4 5 time, but I think the idea behind what we're 6 proposing is that, because these happen so 7 frequently, it would be, I think, in the best interest of -- well, for efficiency's sake, if 8 nothing else, for the Commission to delegate 9 10 those hours, if you will, to the Executive Director, to be delegated to the proxy General 11 12 Counsel or staff, depending on the circumstance, 13 to make sure that this flows as smoothly as it should. 14

So, Akia, I'll leave that to you, and if I messed up at all, you can fix it before you go.

MS. HAYNES: Thank you.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So, pursuant to 910 IAC 1-2-7(f), the Chair of ICRC, the Vice-Chair, or any Commissioner, for good cause, may extend the time within which a party may file an answer to a complaint, and as you guys are aware, we've used this process before.

However, as the Executive Director has mentioned, we frequently receive these requests for enlargements of time, and for purposes of efficiency, we thought it may be best to have that power delegated to the Executive Director, or his designee, to make these determinations. Therefore, you will not be inundated with these constant requests to extend time.

Now, the Governor of Indiana, pursuant to Indiana Code Section 22-9-1-8, selected and appointed an Executive Director to serve as the secretary of the Commission and Chief Administrative Office of the ICRC. Moreover, as the ICRC has deemed it necessary to appoint the Executive Director or a designee to make determinations regarding motions for extension of time to file and answer to a complaint.

Therefore, we are request -- we are respectfully requesting that you vote on whether you deem this to be fit, and this order would supersede prior orders that have stated that that power to enlarge time is retained with the Commission.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Anyone see a

problem?

COMM. RAMOS: I find it strange that it was even set here, but do we have any history on the reason for it, that it was originally set so that the Commission would have that authority? I'm just trying to understand historically what the premise was around it.

MR. SMITH: That was written in the law, and in spirit of full disclosure, I think maybe an internal policy was inserted, if you will, where the staff was just kind of generally giving permission or extending those timelines, but technically, by law, they're supposed to be given instead to the Commission.

And I think, just -- hindsight's always 20/20, but looking back, my guess is because there's so many and the requests are so frequent, in lieu -- and the Commission meetings are only monthly, so in lieu of bombarding the Commission was e-mails about the requests for extensions of time, they just used best judgment.

But to stick to what the law says, we want

to make sure that what we are doing is, not just with this piece, but looking at the overall operating procedures for the agency and looking at every step of the way that one comes in and/or goes out, and we want to make sure that every T is crossed, I is dotted, and that things are etched in stone.

The process is what it is, and so that there's no deviance from what that procedure should be; right? And so, in doing so, this is one of those that as we put together the standard operating procedures for the agency, that we can't move forward, by law, without the blessing of the Commission.

The other side to this is, if you guys choose, we send out requests to you, and in hopes that you can get back to us as to whether we grant or deny the extensions. So, does that make sense? It was a little bit of rambling.

COMM. RAMOS: Yeah, it does.

Ultimately, the request is from the Claimant.

MR. SMITH: Respondent.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Respondent.

19

20

21

22

23

COMM. RAMOS: Respondent.

MR. SMITH: So, they'll say -- you know, we'll send out a -- what? What are they

MS. HAYNES: So, by statute, once the complaint is actually sent out to the respondent, they have a set period of time in which to respond. Usually they'll allege, "Well, we didn't quite have enough time to do that. May we have another 10 days or 15 days?" At which point, according to the statute, we should therefore send that request to you, but internally we have been making those determinations, because in the interest of efficiency, we have to, to keep the ball moving. This also applies in cases -- well, actually, it just primarily applies to that.

COMM. RAMOS: I call for the question.

MR. SMITH: I think we typically grant them, too.

MS. HAYNES: Yes.

I think it's rare that MR. SMITH:

the staff has not. However, I have been a little bit more -- in my grasping more of what that process looks like, have told the staff, you know, there should be a little bit more of a stringent decision, if you will, as to whether we grant those -- or grant the time. Sometimes what's asked for seems to be a bit extreme, it seems more of an attempt to -- to -- I don't want to say avoid the Commission's orders, but maybe to sidestep or to delay what it is we're trying to do.

So, in light of that, I want to mention that it's to make the process -- keep the process in line, that it is black and white, and everyone understands how that process should work, so that there is a process for which a complaint comes in or a request comes in for an extended amount of time, and that it is consistent among all of the complaints that come into the agency.

COMM. RAMOS: Yeah, we wouldn't want to have discrimination within the house.

MR. SMITH: No. Well, and especially in light of what I am actually asking the staff

to do, which is, you know, rethink giving those extensions. You know, they kind of play into, you know, our statute of limitations limit the amount of time that we have to investigate cases, the amount of time the complainant has for that complaint to be finalized.

Our investigators are held to a standard in terms of how long they have to investigate a case and how long that case should remain open. Our intake specialists are held to a standard in terms of, you know, how long they get to do, you know, what they do. So, these requests affect all of that.

And I -- we are what I consider to be a domino agency, and once one domino is knocked out of line, it affects the entire agency. So, you know, as I'm requesting agency -- or the staff to really take a serious look at whether we should be granting these requests, before we go down that road, I want to make sure that, you know, all of the things are documented and the process is as lock step as it can be.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: So, is what you

want us to vote on less specific than for us to agree that you, as Executive Director, or whomever you choose, can be the one to grant, as opposed to us can be the ones to grant, et cetera, et cetera?

MR. SMITH: That's exactly what it is. What I'm asking you guys to vote on is to basically relay that authority to the Exec -- it may not be -- whomever the Executive Director is, but relay that authority to the Executive Director, who can then extend that extension or grant that request, or relay that to a designee.

MR. SMITH: And in my opinion, what I'll do is just, so that you guys know, and someone else could obviously do it differently when they come in, but depending on what that extension is -- most of them ask for a couple of days -- you know, we have supervisors in place who can make that determination.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: May I have a --

For those that are asking 30, 60, you know, whatever it is that sounds a little bit extreme, then those should fly up the flagpole a

little bit higher. And so, we can establish 2 those things internally, but for the sake of this 3 request, it is relaying that power, if you will, from the Commission to the Executive Director. 4 5 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Do we have the 6 power to --7 MR. SMITH: By statute, it's in your 8 hands. 9 CHAIRMAN CARTER: -- tell the statute 10 that the statute's wrong and that it ought to be 11 done a different way? MR. SMITH: Well, I don't know if 12 13 we're saying -- if we're telling the statute that it's wrong as much as, you know, you guys are 14 15 delegating --CHAIRMAN CARTER: 16 Okay. 17 MR. SMITH: -- the authority. 18 CHAIRMAN CARTER: May I have a motion 19 to cede granting of extensions in cases from the 20 Commission Panel's jurisdiction to the Executive Director's? Is that -- did I say what you 21 wanted? 22 23 MS. HAYNES: Yes.

COMM. RAMOS: So moved. 2 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Second? 3 COMM. GIDNEY: Second. CHAIRMAN CARTER: All in favor? 4 5 COMM. RAMOS: Aye. 6 COMM. BAYNARD: Aye. 7 COMM. GIDNEY: Aye. 8 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. 9 I thought you were going to cause more 10 trouble --11 (Laughter.) COMM. BAYNARD: Well, I think --12 13 CHAIRMAN CARTER: -- than you already 14 have. 15 COMM. BAYNARD: I think it's reasonable. You know, we don't want to get those 16 17 type things all of the time. MR. SMITH: If you guys wanted to, we 18 19 could definitely set up and e-mail chain and shoot it to you. 20 21 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Especially this last one that was -- the one day in the whole 22 23 week that I was gone from the house, there was

```
this thing, "We need this as soon as possible,"
2
    and Monday was the holiday.
3
                 MR. SMITH: And Monday was a holiday,
 4
    yes.
5
            Any other questions on that piece?
                                                 I want
6
    to make sure that, in light of -- in light of the
7
    request, that you guys understand exactly what it
    is that we're trying to accomplish.
8
9
                 MS. ALLEN: Can I make a point?
10
                 MR. SMITH: Yes.
11
                 MS. ALLEN: Comm. Carter, what you
12
    received were requests for extension of time to
13
    file an appeal.
14
                 CHAIRMAN CARTER:
                                   Okay.
15
                 MS. ALLEN: -- to the Deputy
16
    Director's notice of finding, and that is
17
    different than --
18
                 CHAIRMAN CARTER:
                                   Okay.
19
                 MS. ALLEN: -- an extension of time
    to answer a complaint of discrimination.
20
21
                 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Uh-huh.
22
                 MR. SMITH: Well, I think, to
    Comm. Carter's point, it's just a matter of
23
```

needing to respond, and that's just one. 1 2 we're talking about is the requests that they 3 would receive in many cases, multiple times a 4 day; right? 5 MS. HAYNES: Yes. 6 MR. SMITH: So, it could be multiple 7 times a day, so whether it was that request or 8 another request, one of the reasons we're making 9 this request is so that they don't have to be 10 bombarded with as many. 11 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Isn't that one of the first things you learn in law school is how 12 to obtain a continuance? 13 14 (Laughter.) 15 MR. SMITH: Any other questions, 16 comments, feedback on that front? 17 (No response.) MR. SMITH: Okay. Another request --18 19 is this the same one? 20 MS. HAYNES: Uh-huh. 2.1 MR. SMITH: I'll let you get up and 22 explain this one. 23 MS. HAYNES: Okay.

And so, it's that time of the year where our administrative rules are actually coming up to expire, so every seven years we have to essentially go to maintain those rules. So, essentially at this point, we have already set these final rules. We've sent them to LSA. We have received no comment about them, so now we are just trying to readopt the administrative rules that we already have in effect.

And that would be section 910 IAC 1, which is Practice and Procedure before the Commission; 910 IAC 2, Fair Housing Complaints; and IA -- and 910 IAC 3, entitled Employment Discrimination against Disabled Persons. So, we are requesting that you agree that we should readopt the Administrative Code as it currently exists.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: All right.

May I have a motion to adopt our rules as we already have them?

COMM. BAYNARD: So moved.

COMM. RAMOS: Second.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: All in favor?

COMM. RAMOS: Aye.

COMM. BAYNARD: Aye. 2 COMM. GIDNEY: Aye. 3 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. 4 MR. SMITH: Thank you for that, and that concludes the Executive Director's Report. 5 CHAIRMAN CARTER: What, nothing more? 6 7 MR. SMITH: No, and I'll step down 8 today. So, unless there are any other questions 9 for me, I will stand down. 10 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Are there any questions? 11 COMM. GIDNEY: No. 12 13 COMM. RAMOS: No. 14 MR. SMITH: Thank you for your time. 15 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. 16 So, we need to decide whether we want to discuss and decide the Cardinal Ritter and 17 18 Aleesha Bullock case. Do we want to do that now? 19 COMM. RAMOS: I'm good. 20 COMM. GIDNEY: Are we off the record? 21 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Are we off -- can we be off the record for a moment? 22 (Discussion off the record.) 23

1 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. In the case 2 we've just heard the oral argument on, the case 3 of Aleesha Bullock versus Cardinal Ritter High 4 School, does anyone have an idea of what our 5 finding might be? 6 COMM. GIDNEY: Yes. In the matter of 7 Bullock versus Cardinal Ritter, I move that we 8 uphold the findings of fact, conclusions of law 9 proposed by the Administrative Law Judge, and 10 amend the order, reducing the relief to \$25,000. 11 CHAIRMAN CARTER: May I have a second? 12 13 COMM. RAMOS: Second. 14 CHAIRMAN CARTER: All in favor? 15 COMM. RAMOS: Aye. 16 COMM. BAYNARD: Aye. 17 COMM. GIDNEY: Aye. 18 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. 19 Any opposed? 20 (No response.) 21 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. 22 We move to Announcements, and you said that you had an announcement. 23

COMM. RAMOS: Yes, I have an announcement. Comm. Carter received a special recognition, which, I think, is commendable, with his disabilities.

Perhaps you can explain that to all of us.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: I think I did that last month, but --

COMM. RAMOS: I missed it.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: -- it's the

Governor's -- it used to be called the Indiana

Governor's Planning Council for People with

Disabilities, and it is now called the Indiana

Governor's Council for People with Disabilities,

and I've come to the conclusion they've given up

on the planning.

But there is -- it's difficult to explain.

It's part of a three-part, funded by the

Developmental Disabilities Act. There's a -- in

each state, there's an academic component, which

is the Indiana Institute on Disability and

Community, and there's a legal component, which

is Protection and Advocacy Services, and then

there's this sort of like a PR thing for

disabilities, which is what the Governor's Council is.

And they're having a conference at the beginning of December, and on Tuesday and -- the first Tuesday and Wednesday of December, and at the Westin, and they're giving me an award for volunteering. Those of us who've been -- who've committed ourselves to a career of volunteering, every once in a while we get a pat on the head, and this is that.

COMM. RAMOS: Congratulations.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Well, thank you.

Any other announcements?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CARTER: I have to be there at 9:00 o'clock in the morning to get it, too, which is an insult. It means I have to get up around 5:00.

If you will observe the meeting dates for the rest of the year, which is December 13th, and we are informed that there is a Christmas or holiday luncheon after our meeting on December 13th, to which we are invited, and if we

have things we need to sign, we should stay until that's done. Otherwise, any other matters before I bang the stately gavel? (No response.) CHAIRMAN CARTER: We are adjourned. MR. SMITH: All right. Thereupon, the proceedings of November 15, 2013 were concluded at 1:05 o'clock p.m.

CERTIFICATE

I, Lindy L. Meyer, Jr., the undersigned Court Reporter and Notary Public residing in the City of Shelbyville, Shelby County, Indiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me on Friday, November 15, 2013 in this matter and transcribed by me.

Lindy L. Meyer, Jr.,

Notary Public in and for the State of Indiana.

My Commission expires October 27, 2016.

\$25,000 [3] - 25:12, 25:22, \$75,000 [3] - 25:10, 26:4, 32:18

1

1 [2] - 64:13, 83:10 1-2-7(f[1] - 71:18 10[3] - 9:7, 63:20, 75:10 100 [1] - 2:8 11 [2] - 64:12, 66:9 111[1] - 7:12 11:07 [2] - 1:17, 3:1 12 [2] - 64:12, 64:16 **12922** [1] - 1:22 13[1] - 64:16 13-member [1] - 27:13 13th [2] - 87:20, 87:23 14 [5] - 20:2, 22:21, 37:11, 37:16, 57:3 **15** [9] - 1:5, 1:17, 3:1, 9:6, 57:3, 61:22, 75:10, 88:9, 89:7 **17** [1] - 66:15 19 [1] - 37:5 1:05 [1] - 88:9

2

2[2] - 64:13, 83:12 20/20 [1] - 73:17 2000 [1] - 10:11 2006-2007 [1] - 37:11 2007 [2] - 12:8, 19:8 2008 [5] - 11:6, 11:18, 12:4, 12:7, 19:19 2013 [6] - 1:5, 1:17, 3:1, 68:17, 88:9, 89:7 2016[1] - 89:15 21st [1] - 58:12 **22** [1] - 38:9 22-9-1-8[1] - 72:10 25 [1] - 66:10 26 [2] - 38:10, 38:18 27 [1] - 89:15

3

3 [2] - 64:13, 83:13 30 [3] - 38:16, 66:15, 78:21 317 (1) - 1:23 32 [2] - 38:12, 67:2 34[1] - 38:18

4

40 [2] - 38:16, 65:16 402 [1] - 1:15 44 [2] - 38:10, 67:2 **45** [1] - 38:9 46032 [1] - 1:22 46204 [1] - 2:8 49 [1] - 38:12

5

5[1] - 60:15 50 [2] - 66:1, 66:18 57 [1] - 38:6 5:00 [1] - 87:18

6

6 [1] - 60:13 60 [1] - 78:21 69 [1] - 38:6

7

7[1] - 60:1 700 [1] - 64:6

8

8[4] - 10:11, 20:2, 37:11, 37:17 84[1] - 29:10 848-0088 [1] - 1:23 88 [1] - 43:5

9

9[1] - 61:10 910[4] - 71:18, 83:10, 83:12, 83:13 9:00 [1] - 87:16

Α

a.m [2] - 1:17, 3:1 ability [5] - 13:1, 21:17, 22:23, 25:1, 25:8 able [13] - 16:13, 17:17, 20:15, 24:11, 28:3, 28:17, 29:4, 33:1, 39:20, 43:14, 43:16, 61:5, 67:14 above-captioned [1] - 1:10 absence [1] - 9:14 absent [1] - 52:6

absolutely [1] - 12:14 academic [1] - 86:19 accept [7] - 3:11, 3:12, 5:11, 6:4, 6:22, 7:20, 39:1 accessible [1] - 44:9 accomplish [1] - 81:8 According [1] - 11:10 according [2] - 12:1, 75:11 ACCURATE [1] - 1:21 achieve [1] - 63:16 acknowledge [1] - 49:2 acknowledged [2] - 25:19, 41:3 Act [1] - 86:18 active [1] - 58:8 activities [1] - 49:23 activity [13] - 48:2, 59:9, 59:15, 60:11, 60:14, 60:17, 61:1, 61:14, 62:6, 62:18, 64:4, 64:18, 67:6 adapting [1] - 67:13 additions [1] - 68:19 address [4] - 9:17, 9:22, 51:4, 66:19 addresses [1] - 46:8 adjourned [1] - 88:6 Administrative [6] - 31:16, 57:22, 58:6, 72:13, 83:16, 85:9 administrative [2] - 83:2, 83:8 admittedly [1] - 67:9 adopt [1] - 83:18 advance [1] - 19:22 advancing [2] - 56:19, 57:5 advantage [1] - 22:8 advertising [1] - 64:5 advised [1] - 57:15 Advocacy [1] - 86:22 affect [2] - 44:12, 77:12 affects [1] - 77:16 African [1] - 14:18 African-American [1] - 14:18 afternoon [3] - 58:1, 58:2, 59:12 against...her [1] - 17:10 age [2] - 61:17, 61:19 Agency [1] - 7:12 agency [24] - 58:7, 58:21, 59:15, 59:19, 59:20, 59:21, 61:3, 61:14, 63:15, 64:19, 65:10, 65:18, 66:8, 67:12, 68:4, 68:10, 70:19, 74:3, 74:12, 76:19, 77:15, 77:16, 77:17 agency's [1] - 61:17 agenda [4] - 4:2, 4:3, 4:5, 57:19

agree [5] - 26:6, 32:20,

65:14, 78:2, 83:15 agreed [3] - 13:5, 13:8, 67:22 Agreements [1] - 57:21 agreements [1] - 61:13 ahead [1] - 4:17 Akia [3] - 2:7, 71:2, 71:15 Aleesha [64] - 2:16, 8:20, 10:2, 10:4, 10:5, 10:12, 10:16, 11:15, 12:6, 12:10, 12:13, 12:18, 13:23, 14:11, 14:14, 15:11, 15:20, 15:21, 16:12, 17:6, 17:17, 18:9, 18:13, 18:18, 19:18, 20:11, 20:17, 20:18, 22:5, 23:12, 24:5, 25:5, 25:9, 27:8, 27:18, 28:1, 28:17, 30:9, 31:4, 32:10, 32:12, 32:16, 33:4, 34:14, 34:15, 35:1, 35:13, 37:3, 37:12, 37:21, 39:2, 41:5, 41:14, 41:16, 43:4, 44:15, 46:2, 47:14, 51:14, 52:7, 52:20, 84:18, 85:3 Aleesha's [7] - 11:10, 16:3, 19:6, 20:9, 25:1, 37:10, 39:21 allege [1] - 75:8 allegedly [1] - 12:11 ALLEN [6] - 8:10, 52:23, 81:9, 81:11, 81:15, 81:19 Allen [2] - 2:11, 14:17 Alley [1] - 12:22 allow [2] - 50:5, 53:20 allowed [2] - 28:10, 30:7 allowing [1] - 71:4 allows [1] - 55:14 almost [1] - 28:19 ALSO [1] - 2:14 alternative [1] - 16:10 amend [1] - 85:10 amendments [1] - 68:19 American [1] - 14:18 amount [10] - 25:12, 36:11, 59:8, 60:10, 61:1, 66:22, 70:23, 76:17, 77:4, 77:5 amounts [2] - 25:13, 25:14 analysis [2] - 42:11, 45:8 anecdotal [1] - 64:21 Anita [11] - 10:13, 11:2, 11:16, 11:19, 11:23, 12:3, 13:17, 19:19, 22:18, 37:18, 38:14 Anita's [1] - 12:4 announcement [2] - 85:23, announcements [1] - 87:13 Announcements [1] - 85:22 Annual [1] - 68:17 answer[10] - 8:11, 16:17, 17:12, 38:2, 38:4, 49:20,

50:15, 71:21, 72:17, 81:20 Answer [2] - 17:1, 17:8 answered [1] - 16:15 answering [1] - 16:7 Anthony [1] - 6:18 antiquated [1] - 60:6 anything...we [1] - 17:19 anyway [4] - 25:23, 27:20, 30:3, 32:3 Apartments [1] - 6:20 apologize [1] - 9:16 appeal [1] - 81:13 Appeals [3] - 5:1, 7:11, 26:16 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 application [1] - 35:18 applies [2] - 75:16, 75:17 appoint [1] - 72:14 appointed [1] - 72:11 appreciate [2] - 70:7, 70:8 approach [1] - 47:7 approached [2] - 44:10, 46:12 approve [4] - 68:16, 68:18, 68:23, 69:5 approved [2] - 63:11, 69:1 approving [1] - 68:22 area [1] - 33:12 areas [4] - 61:16, 62:14, 64:14, 67:7 argued [1] - 12:15 arguing [1] - 14:10 Argument [2] - 8:14, 57:20 argument [6] - 4:4, 10:19, 15:3, 26:15, 57:23, 85:2 arguments [1] - 11:9 articulated [2] - 14:21, 63:20 Assembly [1] - 69:3 Assignment [1] - 7:10 assists [1] - 38:9 assume [2] - 34:23, 35:3 assuming [1] - 67:1 asterisk [1] - 62:1 athlete [1] - 50:2 Athletic [1] - 22:23 athletic [3] - 13:1, 48:2, 50:2 attachment [1] - 60:3 attempt [1] - 76:8 attend [1] - 50:3 Attendance [1] - 22:20 attended [1] - 22:21 Attitude [1] - 23:12 attitude [4] - 13:2, 23:14, 39:21 attorney [2] - 8:19, 9:12 attorneys [1] - 8:17 August [1] - 62:6 authority [5] - 30:15, 73:6, 78:8, 78:10, 79:17 Avenue [2] - 1:22, 2:8

average [1] - 24:16 avoid [1] - 76:9 avoided [2] - 43:5, 43:9 award [6] - 25:15, 26:1, 26:2, 26:6, 32:17, 87:6 awarded [1] - 25:10 aware [1] - 71:22 Aye [36] - 3:17, 3:18, 3:19, 3:20, 5:15, 5:16, 5:17, 5:18, 6:8, 6:9, 6:10, 6:11, 7:3, 7:4, 7:5, 7:6, 8:2, 8:3, 8:4, 8:5, 69:9, 69:10, 69:11, 69:12, 80:5, 80:6, 80:7, 80:8, 83:23, 84:1, 84:2, 84:3, 85:15, 85:16, 85:17, 85:18

В

backcourt [1] - 29:18 backed [1] - 40:1 backwards [1] - 21:3 bad [1] - 30:9 ball [8] - 10:9, 20:20, 20:22, 23:3, 37:22, 56:22, 75:15 bang [1] - 88:3 Barbour [1] - 7:19 barely [1] - 28:13 Barry [2] - 2:3, 53:2 based [5] - 12:23, 18:4, 18:5, 64:1, 64:8 basis [2] - 25:23, 26:2 basketball [35] - 11:23, 19:6, 19:9, 19:10, 19:14, 22:11, 23:5, 23:8, 29:1, 30:13, 36:5, 36:16, 39:15, 41:22, 43:10, 44:18, 44:19, 46:14, 48:6, 49:5, 50:10, 50:14, 50:17, 51:1, 51:3, 51:22, 52:12, 53:4, 53:6, 53:20, 53:23, 54:11, 54:14, 54:17, 56:20 Baynard [4] - 2:3, 5:5, 7:16, 37:20 BAYNARD [31] - 3:15, 3:18, 5:6, 5:16, 6:6, 6:9, 7:1, 7:4, 8:3, 52:11, 53:3, 53:9, 53:18, 53:22, 54:9, 54:13, 54:17, 54:21, 55:13, 55:16, 55:19, 55:21, 56:4, 56:15, 69:10, 80:6, 80:12, 80:15, 83:20, 84:1, 85:16 be-all [1] - 27:7 bear [1] - 4:6 BEFORE [1] - 1:1 began [1] - 53:15 begin [2] - 19:7, 22:16 beginning [5] - 3:9, 53:7, 63:12, 68:15, 87:4

behalf [1] - 2:9 behavior [2] - 13:2, 23:12 behind [2] - 47:22, 71:5 Belanger [1] - 8:17 believes [1] - 48:23 below [1] - 61:22 bench [8] - 15:13, 15:18, 30:17, 32:3, 40:8, 41:2, 42:12, 42:13 Bend [1] - 58:12 benefit [2] - 9:20, 56:13 best [7] - 18:1, 20:2, 21:22, 71:7, 72:4, 73:22 better [7] - 12:5, 19:18, 19:20, 31:6, 31:19, 46:19 between [2] - 10:22, 27:23 big [7] - 16:22, 22:12, 44:19, 48:5, 49:11, 66:7 bit [13] - 24:2, 27:2, 45:21, 48:13, 59:5, 60:13, 65:15, 74:19, 76:2, 76:4, 76:7, 78:22, 79:1 black [3] - 32:14, 34:19, 76:14 Blackburn [1] - 5:2 blessing[1] - 74:13

blue [1] - 44:14

Board [2] - 25:15, 26:5

bolt [1] - 44:14

bombarded [1] - 82:10

bombarding [1] - 73:20

bottom [1] - 60:1

Bowling [1] - 6:18

BREMER [7] - 8:18, 26:13,

40:18, 47:6, 48:1, 49:6,

Bremer [25] - 2:15, 8:18,

52:3

9:21, 9:23, 10:20, 12:16, 14:9, 15:9, 16:6, 16:16, 16:19, 17:9, 21:4, 21:7, 21:23, 25:18, 26:2, 26:8, 26:12, 34:2, 35:1, 40:5, 40:17, 45:14, 50:13

Bremer's [1] - 16:1 Brighton [1] - 1:22 bring [1] - 33:11 bringing [3] - 10:8, 20:23, 34:12

brings [2] - 8:14, 57:21 broke [1] - 64:1

broken [1] - 60:11 bulk [1] - 60:16

BULLOCK [15] - 49:8, 52:14, 53:6, 53:10, 53:21, 54:1, 54:12, 54:16, 54:20, 54:23, 55:15, 55:18, 55:20, 56:3,

Bullock [51] - 2:16, 8:21, 10:2, 10:4, 11:6, 11:8, 12:6, 12:8, 12:13, 13:8,

14:22, 15:5, 19:1, 19:18, 22:9, 22:20, 23:4, 24:5, 27:9, 27:18, 28:1, 30:9, 31:4, 32:11, 32:12, 32:16, 35:2, 38:3, 38:6, 38:8, 38:9, 38:10, 38:12, 40:21, 40:23, 41:5, 41:14, 41:16, 42:21, 43:4, 47:11, 47:17, 49:1, 50:9, 52:20, 84:18, 85:3, 85:7

Bullock's [9] - 22:8, 23:6, 23:10, 33:4, 38:16, 38:18, 38:23, 50:20, 52:16

business [2] - 4:5, 69:21

C

Business [2] - 4:23, 7:10

calendar [1] - 58:9 caliber[1] - 69:23 camp [5] - 23:2, 47:21, 47:22, 57:2, 57:3 cannot [1] - 42:2 capable [1] - 24:21 capacity [8] - 15:12, 15:13, 16:23, 17:11, 18:3, 27:10, 40:7, 42:20 captain [1] - 35:4 captioned [1] - 1:10 capture [1] - 61:6 Cardinal [4] - 9:1, 84:17, 85:3, 85:7 care [1] - 39:15 Care [1] - 7:12 career [3] - 29:6, 48:12, 87:8 Carmel [1] - 1:22 carried [1] - 43:15 CARTER [80] - 3:3, 3:10, 3:14, 3:16, 3:20, 3:23, 4:19, 4:21, 5:4, 5:10, 5:14, 5:18, 5:21, 6:3, 6:7, 6:11, 6:14, 6:17, 7:2, 7:6, 7:9, 7:15, 8:1, 8:5, 8:8, 8:12, 8:22, 9:5, 23:20, 26:7, 26:11, 34:1, 40:16, 45:13, 52:15, 52:21, 53:1, 57:8, 57:11, 57:14, 57:19, 58:2, 68:21, 69:4, 69:8, 69:12, 73:1, 74:23, 77:23, 78:13, 79:5, 79:9, 79:16, 79:18, 80:2, 80:4, 80:8, 80:13, 80:21, 81:14, 81:18, 81:21, 82:11, 83:17, 83:22, 84:3, 84:6, 84:10, 84:15, 84:21, 85:1, 85:11, 85:14, 85:18, 85:21, 86:6, 86:9, 87:12, 87:15, 88:6 Carter [4] - 1:11, 2:3, 81:11, 86:2

Carter's [1] - 81:23 case [14] - 5:6, 6:18, 9:13, 26:16, 26:22, 31:22, 33:3, 41:11, 61:19, 77:9, 84:18, 85:1, 85:2 cases [7] - 61:18, 61:20, 75:16, 77:4, 79:19, 82:3 Cassie [1] - 11:11 category [2] - 12:5, 12:9 Caucasian [3] - 27:22, 30:12, 31:14 cede [1] - 79:19 Center [3] - 1:15, 2:7 Central [2] - 60:15, 67:11 certain [1] - 67:6 certainly [4] - 11:14, 24:19, 70:6, 70:8 CERTIFICATE [1] - 89:1 certify [1] - 89:5 cetera [5] - 7:21, 59:20, 62:11, 78:5 chain [1] - 80:19 Chair [2] - 71:19 CHAIRMAN [80] - 3:3, 3:10, 3:14, 3:16, 3:20, 3:23, 4:19, 4:21, 5:4, 5:10, 5:14, 5:18, 5:21, 6:3, 6:7, 6:11, 6:14, 6:17, 7:2, 7:6, 7:9, 7:15, 8:1, 8:5, 8:8, 8:12, 8:22, 9:5, 23:20, 26:7, 26:11, 34:1, 40:16, 45:13, 52:15, 52:21, 53:1, 57:8, 57:11, 57:14, 57:19, 58:2, 68:21, 69:4, 69:8, 69:12, 73:1, 74:23, 77:23, 78:13, 79:5, 79:9, 79:16, 79:18, 80:2, 80:4, 80:8, 80:13, 80:21, 81:14, 81:18, 81:21, 82:11, 83:17, 83:22, 84:3, 84:6, 84:10, 84:15, 84:21, 85:1, 85:11, 85:14, 85:18, 85:21, 86:6, 86:9, 87:12, 87:15, 88:6 Chairman [2] - 1:12, 2:3 chance [3] - 3:11, 30:19, 43:23 changes [1] - 4:16 characterization [1] - 31:18 characterizing [1] - 31:17 Charles [1] - 2:4 Chavez [1] - 2:12 CHAVEZ [4] - 3:8, 5:3, 5:23, chemistry [5] - 17:23, 18:10, 34:22, 35:6 chemistry...we [1] - 17:2 Chief [1] - 72:12 choose [3] - 55:22, 74:16, 78:3 choosing [1] - 23:5

chose [2] - 36:13, 56:2 chosen [1] - 41:5 Christmas [3] - 59:4, 62:10, 87:21 circumstance [1] - 71:12 citations [1] - 11:13 cited [3] - 20:11, 37:22, 39:7 City [1] - 89:4 CIVIL [2] - 1:1, 2:6 Civil [6] - 1:11, 3:4, 8:19, 27:5, 66:8, 68:2 claim [1] - 10:3 Claimant [1] - 74:21 claimed [2] - 10:18, 11:5 Clark [23] - 12:21, 13:10, 13:19, 14:16, 15:10, 16:2, 16:15, 16:17, 17:12, 18:20, 19:2, 19:4, 19:22, 20:5, 30:22, 40:21, 40:23, 41:19, 44:9, 46:12, 47:10, 49:8, 51:4 Clark's [4] - 12:2, 12:18, 31:17, 34:6 classmates [1] - 28:18 clear [6] - 14:20, 38:2, 38:4, 39:14, 40:22, 50:9 clearly [4] - 27:15, 34:7. 34:8, 37:13 click [1] - 60:3 close [4] - 29:22, 58:9, 59:7, 62:5 closer[1] - 56:7 CM [1] - 1:21 Coach [29] - 12:2, 12:21, 12:22, 13:10, 13:19, 14:16, 14:17, 14:18, 15:10, 16:2, 18:20, 19:2, 19:4, 19:22, 30:22, 34:5, 40:20, 40:23, 41:19, 44:9, 46:11, 47:10, 49:8, 51:4 coach [13] - 13:13, 27:4, 29:18, 30:12, 30:15, 36:1, 37:21, 45:19, 46:4, 49:12, 51:8, 56:17 coaches [23] - 10:6, 13:16, 14:7, 17:18, 19:16, 20:23, 21:16, 22:4, 22:5, 22:13, 22:15, 23:1, 37:8, 39:7, 39:14, 40:2, 45:20, 46:2, 49:2, 50:12, 56:17, 57:4 coaching [1] - 37:8 Code [2] - 72:10, 83:16 college [5] - 25:16, 32:23, 43:6, 43:10, 70:18 comers [1] - 51:20 coming[7] - 11:3, 39:10, 39:11, 44:11, 51:6, 51:16, 83:2

Comm [13] - 5:2, 5:5, 5:22,

6:15, 7:11, 7:16, 37:1,

37:20, 57:8, 60:12, 81:11, 81:23, 86:2 **COMM** [91] - 3:13, 3:15, 3:17, 3:18, 3:19, 4:10, 5:6, 5:12, 5:13, 5:15, 5:16, 5:17, 6:5, 6:6, 6:8, 6:9, 6:10, 6:23, 7:1, 7:3, 7:4, 7:5, 7:14, 7:22, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 8:4, 45:16, 45:23, 47:20, 49:1, 50:23, 52:9, 52:11, 53:3, 53:9, 53:18, 53:22, 54:9, 54:13, 54:17, 54:21, 55:13, 55:16, 55:19, 55:21, 56:4, 56:15, 56:16, 57:7, 57:10, 63:17, 63:21, 64:3, 69:6, 69:7, 69:9, 69:10, 69:11, 69:17, 69:19, 73:3, 74:20, 75:1, 75:18, 76:20, 80:1, 80:3, 80:5, 80:6, 80:7, 80:12, 80:15, 83:20, 83:21, 83:23, 84:1, 84:2, 84:12, 84:13, 84:19, 84:20, 85:6, 85:13, 85:15, 85:16, 85:17, 86:1, 86:8, 87:11 commend [1] - 69:23 commendable [1] - 86:3 comment [2] - 69:17, 83:7 comments [2] - 70:10, 82:16 commission [1] - 59:11 COMMISSION [4] - 1:1, 2:2, 2:6, 2:10 Commission [30] - 1:11, 2:9. 3:5, 5:8, 8:19, 9:19, 26:17, 27:6, 29:21, 31:16, 60:2. 60:7, 62:20, 66:9, 68:2, 68:4, 69:23, 71:3, 71:9, 72:12, 72:23, 73:6, 73:15, 73:19, 73:20, 74:14, 79:4, 79:20, 83:11, 89:15 Commission's [1] - 76:9 Commissioner [3] - 26:16, 26:20, 71:20 Commissioners [2] - 4:23, commissions [2] - 67:5, 67:22 Commissions [1] - 67:17 commitment [7] - 13:1, 17:14, 19:6, 20:15, 22:5, 22:6, 46:22 committed [1] - 87:8 communicated [1] - 49:17 communication [1] - 64:9 communications [1] - 64:7 communities [1] - 63:8 community [4] - 58:16, 58:19, 63:15, 65:13 Community [1] - 86:21 comparable [1] - 40:10 compare [2] - 54:10, 54:22

compared [3] - 11:16, 38:16, 38:18 comparing [3] - 38:2, 38:13, 41:7 comparison [2] - 11:9, 14:10 comparisons [1] - 10:22 compete [1] - 24:17 competed [1] - 24:6 competing [2] - 24:19, 38:23 competitive [3] - 21:12, 21:13, 46:19 competitively [1] - 21:18 competitor [1] - 30:18 complainant [2] - 8:20, 77:5 Complainant [1] - 31:15 complaint [7] - 62:3, 71:22, 72:17, 75:6, 76:16, 77:6, 81:20 Complaints [1] - 83:12 complaints [2] - 61:11, 76:19 completely [1] - 28:10 component [2] - 86:19, 86:21 conceded [1] - 26:3 concern [5] - 19:5, 19:15, 22:6, 22:13, 49:3 concerned [8] - 15:16, 15:19, 15:20, 21:1, 23:2, 34:13, 34:21, 46:22 concerns [1] - 9:23 concluded [1] - 88:9 concludes [1] - 84:5 conclusion [5] - 39:19, 40:6, 41:23, 45:1, 86:14 Conclusions [1] - 7:18 conclusions [1] - 85:8 condensed [1] - 67:18 Conditioning [1] - 48:1 conditioning [28] - 11:23, 19:9, 19:10, 19:15, 20:10, 22:7, 22:12, 23:5, 39:10, 46:14, 48:2, 48:10, 48:18, 48:22, 50:10, 50:14, 50:17, 52:12, 52:13, 53:4, 53:7, 53:14, 54:19, 55:2, 55:11, 56:11, 56:12 conditionings [2] - 53:10, 55:2 Conference [1] - 1:15 conference [1] - 87:3 Congratulations [1] - 87:11 Conner (1) - 6:19 Consent [1] - 57:21 consequences [4] - 23:4, 47:3, 49:14, 49:15 consider [6] - 21:15, 52:16, 59:1, 65:17, 66:2, 77:14 consideration [1] - 57:18 considered [4] - 16:15, 16:17, 17:6, 27:16

consist [2] - 52:13, 53:5 consistent (3) - 23:10, 44:23, 76:18 consistently [1] - 70:19 constant [1] - 72:8 constantly [1] - 55:12 contact [2] - 67:1, 67:5 contestants [1] - 41:8 context [2] - 15:8, 18:8 continuance [1] - 82:13 continue [3] - 6:18, 58:20, 60:23 continuing [1] - 68:5 continuously [1] - 41:22 control [2] - 20:20, 20:22 conversation [2] - 47:17, 49:2 COOK [2] - 4:8, 4:12 Cook [3] - 2:12, 4:7, 4:22 Correct [2] - 54:12, 54:16 correct [8] - 10:5, 12:20, 22:1, 54:11, 54:19, 55:19, 70:21, 89:6 correlated [1] - 66:22 correlation [1] - 67:6 Council [3] - 86:11, 86:13, 87:2 Counsel [2] - 70:15, 71:12 counsel [1] - 11:8 County [2] - 1:14, 89:4 couple [3] - 58:15, 59:14, 78:18 course [4] - 22:12, 48:20, 57:16, 62:19 Court [2] - 6:20, 89:3 court [5] - 10:9, 10:10, 18:5, 51:18, 51:22 Crenshaw [2] - 6:15, 37:1 crime [1] - 48:5 crisis [1] - 59:2 criteria [11] - 12:23, 13:5, 13:7, 13:9, 21:6, 21:8, 21:9, 21:10, 21:14, 21:23, 22:3 crossed [1] - 74:6 cry [1] - 41:10 Cultural [1] - 67:17 Customer [1] - 59:1 cut [6] - 16:18, 23:19, 23:21, 34:11, 46:21, 49:16 cuts [1] - 37:7

D

d/b/a [1] - 1:21 damage [2] - 28:22, 32:16 damages [7] - 24:1, 24:3, 25:10, 25:16, 26:3, 26:5, 32:17

Daniels [1] - 1:21 data [1] - 68:8 date [1] - 59:3 dates [1] - 87:19 daughter [1] - 44:6 David [2] - 1:11, 2:3 Davis [3] - 12:21, 14:16, 14:18 days [6] - 30:23, 40:20. 46:10, 75:10, 78:19 deal [1] - 62:1 Debbie [1] - 2:12 December [5] - 59:3, 87:4, 87:5, 87:20, 87:23 decide [5] - 18:16, 34:11, 55:23, 84:16, 84:17 decide...as [1] - 17:19 decided [3] - 36:6, 40:21, 47:4 decision [15] - 6:1, 12:3, 12:18, 13:11, 15:2, 17:5, 17:15, 18:8, 18:20, 26:23, 27:7, 28:2, 29:22, 34:9, dedicated [1] - 39:13 deem [1] - 72:20 deemed [1] - 72:14 defense [1] - 55:9 define [2] - 20:6 definitely [3] - 27:6, 65:14, 80:19 degree [4] - 14:3, 21:21, 42:16, 42:17 delay [1] - 76:10 delegate [1] - 71:9 delegated [2] - 71:11, 72:5 delegating [1] - 79:15 delivered [1] - 70:3 deny [1] - 74:18 Dep [1] - 2:7 deposition [4] - 15:9, 18:13, 34:6, 52:1 depositions [1] - 31:22 Deputy [4] - 5:9, 6:1, 6:21, 81:15 describe [1] - 59:18 described [1] - 29:15 designee [3] - 72:6, 72:15, 78:12 determination [3] - 56:21, 57:16, 78:20 determinations [3] - 72:6, 72:16, 75:14 determined [1] - 33:5 detriment [1] - 50:19 develop [2] - 20:16, 51:7 developing [2] - 19:23, 38:19

Developmental [1] - 86:18

deviance [1] - 74:9

different [7] - 39:19, 40:2, 53:16, 54:11, 66:19, 79:11, 81:17 differently [1] - 78:16 difficult [3] - 33:14, 34:15, 86:16 direct [2] - 63:5, 67:6 direction [1] - 27:2 directly [1] - 66:22 Director [11] - 2:7, 26:19, 71:11, 72:1, 72:5, 72:11, 72:15, 78:2, 78:9, 78:11, 79:4 Director's [6] - 5:9, 6:1, 6:21, 79:21, 81:16, 84:5 Director/Secretary [1] - 2:6 disabilities [2] - 86:4, 87:1 Disabilities [3] - 86:12, 86:13, 86:18 Disability [1] - 86:20 Disabled [1] - 83:14 disappointed [1] - 30:7 disclosure [1] - 73:10 discouraged [1] - 29:3 discriminated [1] - 66:17 Discrimination [1] - 83:13 discrimination [13] - 35:10, 35:13, 39:4, 40:4, 40:12, 44:22, 65:8, 65:12, 65:21, 66:2, 67:11, 76:21, 81:20 discuss [1] - 84:17 discussed [2] - 16:11, 40:19 discussion [1] - 31:9 Discussion [1] - 84:23 dispute [2] - 21:5, 40:19 disputed [2] - 47:10, 47:19 distress [2] - 33:7, 33:18 distressing [1] - 33:18 division [1] - 43:8 Division [9] - 24:8, 24:13, 24:18, 24:20, 25:3, 35:15 documentation [2] - 45:18, documented [1] - 77:21 domino [2] - 77:15 done [11] - 27:18, 30:18, 34:9, 58:15, 59:9, 64:19, 66:23, 69:20, 69:22, 79:11, 88:2 dotted [1] - 74:6 down [10] - 30:21, 31:20, 32:6, 53:14, 60:11, 64:1, 64:15, 77:19, 84:7, 84:9 drafted [1] - 61:12 drills [2] - 54:4, 54:7 drop[1] - 67:4 dropped [2] - 65:15, 65:23

dialogue [1] - 49:3

difference [1] - 41:14

dropping [1] - 19:9
due [2] - 29:3, 57:16
During [1] - 15:9
during [19] - 11:17, 11:19,
11:21, 12:16, 13:15, 13:16,
14:11, 19:8, 20:19, 22:7,
23:6, 23:15, 23:16, 27:12,
42:3, 50:5, 50:21, 52:4,
52:8
dynamic [2] - 15:17, 15:20
dynamics [1] - 31:19

Ε

e-mail [2] - 59:23, 80:19 e-mailed [1] - 59:10 e-mails [1] - 73:21 education [2] - 25:17, 62:1 Education [1] - 61:23 educational [1] - 29:2 EEOC [1] - 61:13 effect [2] - 43:13, 83:9 efficiency [2] - 72:4, 75:15 efficiency's [1] - 71:8 effort [5] - 12:23, 17:14, 22:4, 46:9, 67:15 efforts [1] - 68:5 either [1] - 12:15 election [1] - 19:7 elements [1] - 45:18 eliminate [1] - 65:8 emotional [5] - 26:3, 26:4, 28:21, 28:22, 33:6 Employment [2] - 61:20, 83:13 encouraged [1] - 48:3 end [1] - 61:12 ended [3] - 32:15, 51:8 Energy [1] - 7:20 engage [1] - 21:11 engaged [1] - 60:22 enlarge [1] - 72:22 enlargements [1] - 72:3 entered [1] - 9:1 entertain [1] - 4:17 entire [2] - 66:3, 77:16 entitled [2] - 35:14, 83:13 episode [1] - 47:8 equal [1] - 38:17 Erica [1] - 23:13 Especially [1] - 80:21 especially [4] - 14:4, 44:20, 67:10, 76:22 essentially [2] - 83:4, 83:5 establish [1] - 79:1 et [5] - 7:21, 59:20, 62:11, 78:5 etched [1] - 74:7 evaluation [1] - 14:21

evening [1] - 47:11 events [1] - 61:1 eventually [1] - 43:7 evidence [11] - 24:5, 24:6, 27:11, 29:3, 34:20, 40:3, 40:13, 45:19, 46:1, 49:22, 52:3 exactly [2] - 78:6, 81:7 example [1] - 60:13 exceeded [1] - 70:3 excited [5] - 62:15, 63:9, 65:15, 67:8, 68:9 Excuse [1] - 52:15 excuse [1] - 63:12 Exec [1] - 78:8 Executive [11] - 71:10, 72:1, 72:5, 72:11, 72:15, 78:2, 78:9, 78:10, 79:4, 79:20, 84:5 exercise [1] - 48:15 exists [1] - 83:16 expect [1] - 70:3 expectation [1] - 30:6 expectations [1] - 70:4 expected [1] - 16:14 experience [3] - 21:12, 21:18, 43:18 expert [1] - 24:9 expire [1] - 83:3 expires [1] - 89:15 explain [7] - 9:14, 42:4, 43:2, 47:22, 82:22, 86:5, 86:16 explained [5] - 31:8, 32:18, 43:9, 51:5, 52:1 explaining [3] - 27:4, 30:21, 30:22 explanation [2] - 41:10, 51:13 exposure [1] - 15:14 extend [4] - 70:23, 71:20, 72:8, 78:11 extended [3] - 60:5, 60:6, 76:17 extending [1] - 73:13 extension [5] - 72:16, 78:11, 78:18, 81:12, 81:19 extensions [4] - 73:21, 74:18, 77:2, 79:19 extreme [3] - 26:5, 76:7, 78:23 extremely [3] - 61:4, 61:20, 66:10

F

Facebook [1] - 63:4 faced [1] - 67:1 facie [1] - 41:11 facility [1] - 59:1

fact [13] - 11:7, 12:4, 14:9, 15:6, 15:23, 39:1, 46:18, 47:10, 47:19, 48:7, 58:8, 66:5, 85:8 Fact [1] - 7:18 factor [3] - 13:12, 16:22, 17:13 facts [1] - 44:23 failure [3] - 20:9, 21:13, 22:8 Fair [2] - 58:11, 83:12 fair [1] - 15:21 fairly [1] - 64:1 fall [2] - 19:8, 23:7 family [1] - 34:16 fans [1] - 44:5 far [2] - 4:14, 61:7 fast [1] - 64:11 faults [1] - 37:13 favor[11] - 3:16, 5:14, 6:7, 7:2, 8:1, 26:20, 27:8, 69:8, 80:4, 83:22, 85:14 fear [1] - 43:11 feedback [4] - 65:2, 65:5, 68:7, 82:16 felt [3] - 14:3, 56:9, 56:13 few [7] - 58:5, 58:8, 59:17, 61:10, 62:17, 65:3, 70:13 field [2] - 49:5, 55:17 figure [1] - 33:4 figuring [1] - 67:14 file [3] - 71:21, 72:17, 81:13 files [1] - 62:2 final [6] - 29:16, 30:8, 30:14, 33:9, 42:13, 83:6 finalized [1] - 77:6 finally [1] - 28:1 Financial [3] - 4:1, 4:7, 4:13 financial [1] - 36:10 financial-needs [1] - 36:10 Findings [1] - 7:18 findings [10] - 7:21, 10:21, 11:10, 14:9, 15:6, 21:6, 21:7, 26:1, 33:15, 85:8 finishing [1] - 36:18 Finke [3] - 12:22, 14:17, 46:11 First [3] - 10:2, 22:4, 24:4 first [7] - 9:6, 9:21, 10:1, 59:17, 65:11, 82:12, 87:5 Fiscal [1] - 68:17 fit [1] - 72:20 five [3] - 51:17, 61:23, 62:14 fix [1] - 71:16 flagpole [1] - 78:23 flows [1] - 71:13 fly [1] - 78:23 focus [3] - 23:6, 58:22, 62:20 following [4] - 19:5, 61:9,

62:6, 62:17

food [1] - 44:4 force [1] - 54:14 foregoing [1] - 89:5 formalized [1] - 61:12 Forty [1] - 64:6 Forty-two [1] - 64:6 forward [6] - 23:23, 60:9, 60:21, 61:6, 64:11, 74:13 four [3] - 24:16, 36:22, 40:2 fourth [1] - 41:23 framework [1] - 45:5 frankly [2] - 10:21, 46:7 Frederick [2] - 2:15, 8:18 free [2] - 38:11, 38:17 free-throw [2] - 38:11, 38:17 frequent [1] - 73:18 frequently [2] - 71:7, 72:2 freshman [1] - 38:15 Friday [2] - 1:17, 89:7 friends [3] - 30:13, 42:3, 56:7 front [1] - 82:16 full [3] - 25:4, 54:14, 73:10 full-ride [1] - 25:4 fun [2] - 46:16, 47:2 functions [1] - 59:20 funded [1] - 86:17 future [2] - 20:1, 31:5

G

gain [1] - 48:18 game [9] - 23:10, 24:15, 24:16, 37:5, 44:18, 48:10, 51:15, 52:8, 55:5 games [9] - 24:15, 36:20, 36:21, 37:11, 44:3, 52:4, 55:12. 57:3 Garadini [1] - 53:13 Garcia [1] - 5:22 gavel [1] - 88:4 gel [1] - 22:16 gelling [1] - 22:18 General [3] - 69:2, 70:15, 71:11 generally [1] - 73:12 Gidney [2] - 2:4, 57:8 GIDNEY [20] - 3:13, 3:19, 4:10, 5:12, 5:17, 6:10, 6:23, 7:5, 7:22, 8:4, 57:10, 69:7, 69:11, 80:3, 80:7, 84:2, 84:12, 84:20, 85:6, 85:17 girls [3] - 20:13, 21:17, 22:22 given [8] - 30:19, 39:8, 39:21, 40:1, 43:23, 71:1, 73:15, 86:14 glad [1] - 61:5 goals [2] - 65:7, 67:21 govern [1] - 66:14

Government [2] - 1:14, 2:7 Governor [1] - 72:9 Governor's [5] - 69:3, 86:10, 86:11, 86:13, 87:1 grade [4] - 19:13, 41:23, 48:13, 61:16 grant [7] - 74:18, 75:21, 76:6, 78:3, 78:4, 78:12 granting [2] - 77:19, 79:19 grasping [1] - 76:2 great [2] - 41:18, 70:4 guard [12] - 10:6, 10:8, 10:10, 10:14, 10:15, 10:23, 11:1, 11:15, 37:18, 38:14, 46:19 guess [3] - 52:19, 57:21, 73:17 guide [1] - 46:6 guys [14] - 59:8, 60:4, 60:7, 63:11, 68:11, 68:16, 68:18, 71:22, 74:15, 78:7, 78:15, 79:14, 80:18, 81:7 gym [2] - 54:3, 54:6

Н

half [1] - 64:9 hand [2] - 49:21, 52:18 handbook [1] - 49:21 handling [1] - 23:3 hands [1] - 79:8 happy [1] - 18:4 hauled [2] - 44:4 Haynes [2] - 2:7, 70:15 HAYNES [9] - 4:11, 70:22, 71:17, 75:5, 75:22, 79:23, 82:5, 82:20, 82:23 head [1] - 87:9 heard [3] - 49:10, 49:18, 85:2 hearing [6] - 9:15, 12:17, 13:4, 25:19, 31:23, 47:16 Hearing [2] - 4:21, 57:14 heavily [1] - 17:9 heavily' [1] - 17:13 held [3] - 64:5, 77:7, 77:10 help [4] - 46:6, 46:9, 56:10, 60:19 helped [1] - 63:15 helping [2] - 55:9, 70:1 hereby [1] - 89:5 Herman [1] - 6:19 Hernandez [10] - 10:13, 11:2, 11:16, 13:17, 19:19, 22:18, 37:18, 38:14, 38:15, 38:22 herself [3] - 30:8, 44:1, 48:10 high [4] - 28:12, 32:14, 66:4, 69:22 High [3] - 8:17, 9:12, 85:3 higher [2] - 29:1, 79:1

highest [3] - 29:9, 29:10, 38:11 highly [1] - 50:1 himself [2] - 27:5, 43:2 hindsight's [1] - 73:16 Hispanic [2] - 10:13, 11:17 historically [1] - 73:7 history [2] - 26:17, 73:4 hold [1] - 19:3 holds [1] - 62:9 holiday [4] - 62:10, 81:2, 81:3, 87:22 Holland [1] - 5:7 home [1] - 47:14 Home [1] - 7:12 hope [2] - 33:19, 33:20 hopes [1] - 74:16 Hospital [1] - 5:7 hours [1] - 71:10 house [3] - 63:6, 76:21, 80:23 Housing [1] - 83:12 hovered [1] - 61:21 hovering [1] - 66:18 HUD [1] - 61:14 humiliation [1] - 43:12 hundred [1] - 63:21

hurt [1] - 56:10

ı

IA [1] - 83:12 IAC [4] - 71:18, 83:10, 83:12, ICRC [4] - 68:18, 71:19, 72:13, 72:14 idea [4] - 18:11, 58:14, 71:5, 85:4 identified [5] - 13:19, 18:14, 27:7, 27:15, 27:21 identifying [1] - 19:5 Idowu [1] - 7:12 ignore [2] - 21:6, 21:8 IHSAA [1] - 50:4 II [4] - 24:8, 24:18, 25:3, 35:15 **III** [2] - 24:13, 24:20 illegal [2] - 54:5, 54:6 immediately [1] - 55:7 impact [1] - 33:18 important [2] - 16:5, 60:19 improve [4] - 14:3, 39:22, 44:1, 68:12 improved [1] - 27:17 improvement [9] - 13:2, 13:17, 14:2, 21:2, 23:1, 23:11, 37:17, 65:19, 66:5 improvements [2] - 13:14, 13:20

in-kind [1] - 63:1 inability [3] - 21:11, 28:23, 39:22 Inc [1] - 6:19 incident [1] - 29:4 include [1] - 67:23 included [2] - 13:14, 68:3 including [4] - 58:10, 58:23, 64:7, 68:3 inconsistent [1] - 50:21 incorrect [1] - 12:14 increasing [1] - 65:9 incur[1] - 33:17 incurred [1] - 43:21 INDIANA[3] - 1:1, 1:21, 2:6 Indiana [24] - 1:10, 1:13, 1:14, 1:16, 1:22, 2:7, 2:8, 3:4, 27:5, 35:19, 36:15, 43:7, 60:15, 65:22, 66:8, 67:11, 72:9, 72:10, 86:10, 86:12, 86:20, 89:4, 89:13 Indianapolis [3] - 1:16, 2:8, 60:16 indicated [3] - 45:19, 56:17, 56:18 Indicators [1] - 64:16 industry [1] - 29:16 influences [1] - 61:14 influencing [1] - 50:8 information [3] - 32:19, 61:4, 61:6 informed [1] - 87:21 inquiring [1] - 16:8 inquiry [1] - 41:3 inserted [1] - 73:11 inside [1] - 45:3 insight [1] - 61:2 insisting [1] - 27:3 instead [1] - 73:15 Institute [1] - 86:20 insult [1] - 87:17 intake [1] - 77:10 interacted [1] - 18:5 interest [2] - 71:8, 75:14 interested [1] - 44:2 interesting [2] - 26:14, 67:3 internal [1] - 73:11 internally [2] - 75:13, 79:2 interpersonal [1] - 32:13 interviews [1] - 62:22 introduce [2] - 8:15, 58:18 introduced [1] - 49:22 inundated [1] - 72:7 investigate [2] - 77:4, 77:8 investigators [1] - 77:7 invited [1] - 87:23

involved [9] - 16:4, 28:23,

improving [1] - 14:5

in-house [1] - 63:6

45:4, 56:12, 58:10, 59:15, 63:2, 67:13, 68:11 involvement [1] - 63:15 involves [1] - 60:16 ISHAA [1] - 53:19 issue [12] - 21:4, 23:23, 24:3, 25:20, 47:10, 47:19, 49:11, 51:5, 65:13, 65:15, 65:22, 66:3 issues [1] - 62:2

J

itself [1] - 59:14

Jamal [1] - 2:6 January [1] - 68:15 Jay [3] - 2:15, 8:16, 9:11 Jiles [4] - 23:9, 23:13, 25:2 Jiles' [1] - 13:6 job [2] - 29:20, 37:9 jobs [2] - 20:14, 22:22 John [1] - 2:15 joined [4] - 19:11, 39:12, 49:18, 55:7 Jr [3] - 1:12, 89:2, 89:11 Judge [4] - 31:17, 40:20, 85:9 judgment [1] - 73:22 jump [2] - 66:7, 66:11 jumped [3] - 66:9, 66:14, 67:2 June [1] - 62:5 junior[14] - 10:15, 14:11, 19:7, 19:12, 23:7, 28:5, 29:9, 31:4, 36:17, 37:10, 39:12, 46:23, 47:2, 47:5 jurisdiction [2] - 66:14, 79:20

K

Katie [1] - 13:18 keep [5] - 17:20, 67:13, 75:15, 76:13 kept [1] - 18:2 Key [1] - 64:15 kicked [1] - 17:16 kicks [1] - 62:7 kids [4] - 44:4, 44:18, 46:5, 55:23 kind [10] - 28:9, 59:17, 60:15, 60:19, 63:1, 67:18, 69:21, 69:22, 73:12, 77:2 King [1] - 13:18 Kittle [1] - 6:19 knocked [1] - 77:15 Koors [1] - 11:11

L

lack [2] - 21:12, 61:1 lady [1] - 44:3 large [1] - 64:1 last [14] - 9:15, 9:20, 12:17, 25:19, 26:4, 32:6, 36:4, 37:2, 42:11, 45:6, 63:12, 64:17, 80:22, 86:7 Lastly [1] - 69:16 Laughter [2] - 80:11, 82:14 Law [3] - 7:19, 31:16, 85:9 law [6] - 73:10, 73:14, 73:23, 74:13, 82:12, 85:8 laws [1] - 66:14 layman's [1] - 70:17 leader [1] - 37:19 leadership [2] - 13:2, 17:14 leading [4] - 11:22, 29:7, 29:10, 29:13 leads [1] - 59:10 learn [1] - 82:12 least [1] - 27:12 leave [1] - 71:15 led [2] - 28:2, 38:9 left [1] - 57:23 legal [3] - 70:16, 70:18, 86:21 less [4] - 17:21, 26:23, 44:6, 78:1 letting [4] - 16:11, 16:22, 17:10, 27:8 level [4] - 19:19, 24:6, 24:20, 25:6 lieu [2] - 73:19, 73:20 life [1] - 43:19 light [4] - 76:12, 76:23, 81:6 likely [1] - 62:5 limit [4] - 27:12, 27:13, 27:14, 77:3 limitations [1] - 77:3 limited [9] - 15:12, 15:13, 16:23, 17:7, 18:2, 34:13, 36:20, 36:21, 40:7 limited-role [1] - 18:2 Lindy [3] - 1:12, 89:2, 89:11 line [6] - 62:2, 65:1, 67:20, 69:2, 76:14, 77:16 lines [1] - 44:21 link [1] - 60:3 listed [1] - 21:10 loan [1] - 25:11 loans [3] - 32:23, 33:17, 43:21 local [1] - 67:5 located [1] - 4:14 lock [1] - 77:22 logic [1] - 47:22

look [8] - 21:10, 27:19, 37:5,

59:13, 60:1, 63:20, 66:19, 77:18 Look [1] - 41:19 looked [2] - 16:20, 34:10 looking [6] - 17:16, 20:6, 68:12, 73:17, 74:2, 74:3 looks [2] - 64:18, 76:3 lost [2] - 20:20, 37:11 loud [1] - 39:14 low [3] - 32:14, 61:20, 61:22 LSA[1] - 83:6 luncheon [1] - 87:22 Lynch [38] - 10:3, 10:4, 10:14, 10:17, 11:7, 12:19, 13:18, 14:23, 15:4, 16:3, 18:12, 18:14, 18:18, 27:22, 28:7, 28:9, 29:12, 31:13, 31:14, 32:8, 32:9, 34:19, 35:1, 35:4, 38:2, 38:5, 38:8, 38:9, 38:10, 38:23, 40:9, 41:4, 41:15, 41:20, 41:21, 42:1, 42:14

М

mail [3] - 59:23, 63:8, 80:19

mailed [1] - 59:10

mailings [1] - 63:6 mails [1] - 73:21 main [3] - 11:18, 63:13, 65:7 maintain [1] - 83:4 maintained [1] - 11:11 major [1] - 13:12 makeup [1] - 59:21 management [1] - 59:2 manager [3] - 15:12, 17:21, mandatory [3] - 30:3, 30:4, 48:20 map [2] - 60:19, 60:20 matter[11] - 1:10, 21:20, 21:21, 29:23, 44:16, 48:20, 51:19, 68:20, 81:23, 85:6, 89:7 matters [1] - 88:3 mean [10] - 28:12, 33:7, 33:8, 33:10, 43:1, 51:10, 51:12, 53:8, 57:20 means [1] - 87:17 measuring [1] - 64:13 media [3] - 63:1, 63:3, 64:6 MEETING [1] - 1:5 meeting [11] - 3:4, 19:2, 26:4, 30:23, 37:2, 40:19, 43:2, 46:12, 62:15, 87:19, 87:22 meetings [5] - 16:9, 60:2, 60:7, 62:20, 73:19

member [2] - 16:12, 27:22

MEMBERS [1] - 2:2 members [3] - 16:21, 18:6, 41:8 mention [1] - 76:12 mentioned [6] - 14:1, 31:10, 31:11, 31:14, 45:20, 72:2 Mercer [8] - 2:15, 8:16, 9:11, 27:3, 32:21, 34:3, 56:16 MERCER [12] - 8:16, 9:3, 9:10, 23:21, 26:10, 34:4, 46:10, 47:9, 49:20, 51:2, 56:23, 57:17 merely [1] - 40:4 message [1] - 70:7 messed [1] - 71:16 Methodist [1] - 5:7 Meyer [3] - 1:12, 89:2, 89:11 Michigan [7] - 11:20, 11:21, 20:17, 20:18, 23:2, 57:1, 57:2 mid [1] - 59:3 mid-December [1] - 59:3 midfield [2] - 55:8, 55:13 midseason [3] - 19:8, 19:11, 39:12 midway [1] - 46:23 might [4] - 49:20, 53:16, 56:1, 85:5 might...be [1] - 16:13 million [1] - 70:18

midway [1] - 46:23 might [4] - 49:20, 53:16, 56:1, 85:5 might...be [1] - 16:13 million [1] - 70:18 mind [3] - 41:6, 42:11, 43:1 minds [1] - 45:3 minute [5] - 23:20, 24:20, 51:15, 51:16, 51:22 minutes [12] - 3:6, 3:11, 9:6, 9:7, 17:21, 24:16, 34:3, 36:22, 40:17, 60:4, 60:5, 60:6 misquote [1] - 20:4

missed [1] - 86:8 mistakes [1] - 37:23 mix [1] - 17:10

miss [1] - 56:1

MLK [1] - 68:3 moment [2] - 37:14, 84:22

Momma [2] - 41:19, 42:15 Monday [2] - 81:2, 81:3

month [4] - 4:15, 9:15, 25:20, 86:7

month's [2] - 9:20, 26:4 monthly [1] - 73:20

monthly [1] - 73:20 months [1] - 68:13

Moreover [1] - 72:13

morning [5] - 4:8, 4:9, 4:10, 4:11, 87:16

most [3] - 61:3, 61:20, 78:18

mostly [3] - 53:17, 53:19, 54:5

mother [2] - 45:10, 47:18

84:4, 84:7, 84:14, 88:7

MS (36) - 3:8, 4:8, 4:11, 4:12, 5:3, 5:23, 6:16, 8:10, 49:8, 52:14, 52:23, 53:6, 53:10, 53:21, 54:1, 54:12, 54:16, 54:20, 54:23, 55:15, 55:18, 55:20, 56:3, 56:6, 70:22, 71:17, 75:5, 75:22, 79:23, 81:9, 81:11, 81:15, 81:19,

motion [8] - 3:12, 5:10, 6:4,

6:22, 7:20, 69:4, 79:18,

motions [1] - 72:16

move [7] - 14:14, 23:23,

64:16, 70:11, 74:13, 85:7,

moved [8] - 3:13, 5:12, 6:5,

6:23, 7:22, 69:6, 80:1,

Moving [2] - 60:9, 61:9

moving [3] - 60:21, 61:6,

MR [56] - 4:9, 8:16, 8:18, 9:3,

9:10, 23:21, 26:10, 26:13,

34:4, 40:18, 46:10, 47:6,

51:2, 52:3, 56:23, 57:17,

63:19, 63:23, 64:4, 68:23,

69:15, 69:18, 70:6, 70:13,

70:23, 73:9, 74:22, 75:2,

75:20, 75:23, 76:22, 78:6,

78:14, 79:7, 79:12, 79:17,

80:18, 81:3, 81:10, 81:22,

82:6, 82:15, 82:18, 82:21,

47:9, 48:1, 49:6, 49:20,

58:1, 58:3, 58:5, 61:9,

motive [1] - 45:4

83:18

85:22

83:20

75:15

82:5, 82:20, 82:23 multiple [3] - 70:20, 82:3, 82:6

Ν

N103[1] - 2:8 name [1] - 9:11 narrow [1] - 26:22 nature [2] - 59:2, 64:8 necessarily [1] - 49:4 necessary [1] - 72:14 need [7] - 32:18, 66:19, 68:23, 70:14, 81:1, 84:16, 88:1 Need [1] - 20:16 needed [4] - 17:18, 50:18, 56:8, 61:3 needing [1] - 82:1 needs [4] - 33:5, 36:10, 40:13, 69:2 neighborhood [1] - 53:12 Never [1] - 31:14

never[12] - 11:5, 11:8, 18:21, 18:22, 23:19, 23:21, 35:23, 36:4, 44:10, 47:18, 49:15, 49:16 New [2] - 7:10 new [2] - 9:17, 34:9 newspaper [1] - 29:19 next [8] - 12:10, 21:4, 36:15, 37:10, 38:20, 58:12, 62:1, 70:11 Next [1] - 22:9 Nicole [1] - 13:18 Nine [1] - 63:21 nineteen [1] - 64:9 nobody [1] - 24:10 Noell [1] - 2:11 none [2] - 4:21, 57:14 None [1] - 40:4 normal [1] - 58:7 North [2] - 2:7, 2:8 not...you [1] - 16:9 Notary [3] - 1:13, 89:3, 89:12 note [2] - 61:10, 67:3 nothing [3] - 30:9, 71:9, 84:6 Nothing [1] - 44:13 notice [2] - 9:17, 81:16 **NOVEMBER** [1] - 1:5 November [4] - 1:17, 3:1, 88:9, 89:7 number [6] - 26:5, 36:20, 36:21, 38:5, 61:11, 67:4 numbers [3] - 12:1, 27:14, 67:9

0

o'clock [4] - 1:17, 3:1, 87:16, 88:9 objection [2] - 9:2, 9:4 objectives [4] - 63:13, 63:16, 67:21, 68:1 Objectives [1] - 64:13 observe [2] - 14:8, 87:19 obtain [1] - 82:13 obviously [3] - 59:5, 62:16, 78:16 Obviously [4] - 34:20, 60:14, 61:11, 62:4 occurred [1] - 39:19 October [2] - 4:13, 89:15 OF [3] - 1:1, 1:5, 1:21 off-season [4] - 13:1, 13:15, 13:16, 22:23 offense [1] - 55:10 offered [2] - 18:21, 18:22 Office [2] - 69:3, 72:13 office [1] - 47:13 often [5] - 10:6, 14:1, 24:14, 38:1, 50:3

Old [1] - 4:23 omissions [1] - 68:19 on-line [3] - 65:1, 67:20, 69:2 once [4] - 31:22, 75:5, 77:15, 87:9 Once [2] - 36:1, 69:1 One [4] - 21:11, 23:20, 36:8, 65:11 one [40] - 7:17, 10:23, 11:18, 15:1, 15:17, 20:10, 22:18, 24:2, 24:23, 26:17, 27:2, 29:11, 31:12, 32:6, 32:15, 35:2, 35:17, 37:5, 37:6, 39:7, 40:22, 45:8, 48:4, 51:16, 55:5, 60:9, 60:18, 65:9, 66:21, 74:4, 74:11, 77:15, 78:3, 80:22, 82:1, 82:8, 82:11, 82:19, 82:22 ones [3] - 13:19, 34:10, 78:4 open [2] - 61:18, 77:9 opens [1] - 53:23 operating [2] - 74:3, 74:12 opinion [2] - 25:4, 78:14 opportunities [1] - 52:7 opportunity [8] - 4:13, 11:14, 14:7, 26:9, 41:19, 51:23, 59:12, 60:8 opposed [8] - 3:21, 5:19, 6:12, 7:7, 8:6, 69:13, 78:4, 85:19 Oral [3] - 8:14, 57:20, 57:23 oral [2] - 4:4, 85:2 Order [1] - 7:19 order [4] - 3:5, 32:19, 72:20, 85:10 orders [2] - 72:21, 76:9 ordinarily [1] - 43:17 organizations [2] - 58:16, 58:17 organized [2] - 50:5, 54:2 originally [1] - 73:5 OTHER [1] - 2:10 Otherwise [1] - 88:3 ought [1] - 79:10 ourselves [1] - 87:8 outcomes [1] - 64:14 outline [2] - 59:18, 60:1 outlined [1] - 60:10 outlines [3] - 59:14, 62:17, 63:10 Outlines [1] - 59:20 outreach [7] - 58:7, 60:23, 62:19, 63:14, 64:2, 64:23, 66:22 outstanding [4] - 11:2, 12:1, 46:4, 51:11 Outstanding [1] - 70:2 overall [2] - 68:1, 74:2 overlap[1] - 48:7 oversee [1] - 67:18

oversimplistic [1] - 21:16 own [5] - 11:10, 28:18, 29:18, 33:16, 33:21

Ρ

p.m [1] - 88:9 packet [2] - 3:7, 4:14 page [5] - 43:5, 60:1, 61:10, 63:20, 64:16 pages [2] - 59:17, 62:17 paid [3] - 25:14, 32:22, 62:23 Pamela [1] - 2:12 Panel's [1] - 79:20 parents [11] - 25:14, 25:16, 25:18, 30:23, 32:22, 33:17, 40:20, 44:2, 44:6, 46:7, 47:7 part [12] - 10:20, 14:5, 15:2, 15:18, 22:17, 29:3, 29:17, 47:7, 48:11, 56:6, 86:17 participants [1] - 57:23 participate [2] - 20:9, 25:6 participating [1] - 22:11 particular [1] - 41:6 parties [2] - 8:15, 33:3 partner [1] - 58:14 parts [1] - 61:3 party [1] - 71:21 past [1] - 58:15 pat [1] - 87:9 Pause [1] - 58:4 pay [2] - 25:17, 33:1 People [2] - 86:11, 86:13 people [11] - 28:20, 35:11, 42:7, 46:21, 50:23, 51:18, 51:19, 56:8, 66:11, 66:23 percent [14] - 38:12, 38:16, 61:21, 62:14, 65:16, 65:23, 66:1, 66:10, 66:15, 66:18, 67:2, 67:3 percentage [8] - 38:11, 38:15, 38:17, 61:19, 66:2, 66:4, 66:11, 66:16 performance [2] - 11:3, 12:4 Performance [1] - 64:15 Perhaps [1] - 86:5 period [3] - 22:7, 50:6, 75:7 permission [1] - 73:13 person [7] - 10:18, 11:12, 15:17, 24:23, 29:13, 29:17, 65:1 Personal [1] - 7:12 Persons [1] - 83:14 phase [1] - 63:3 phone [1] - 47:13 phonetic [1] - 53:13 physically [1] - 48:9 picked [2] - 35:2, 40:9

piece [3] - 70:11, 74:2, 81:5 pieces [1] - 58:7 place [1] - 78:19 placed [1] - 10:18 plan [1] - 50:2 Plan [3] - 63:11, 65:8, 68:15 Planning [1] - 86:11 planning [1] - 86:15 play (29) - 10:7, 13:15, 16:13, 19:6, 20:17, 21:12, 21:13, 21:17, 21:21, 21:22, 24:13, 24:14, 24:15, 27:18, 29:5, 30:16, 32:4, 33:21, 35:14, 41:2, 42:18, 47:4, 51:17, 51:21, 51:23, 56:8, 56:9, 77:2 played [15] - 10:5, 11:15, 11:20, 19:13, 24:16, 36:16, 36:21, 38:8, 41:21, 43:19, 48:11, 51:15, 55:8, 56:7, 57:3 player[1] - 38:19 players [17] - 10:22, 13:4, 14:4, 14:5, 14:8, 14:13, 16:18, 17:15, 19:17, 19:23, 20:16, 34:9, 46:18, 51:7, 52:5, 57:5 playing [19] - 12:7, 12:8, 19:7, 19:18, 22:6, 23:7, 24:20, 31:3, 36:20, 36:22, 39:11, 44:18, 46:22, 49:10, 50:15, 50:18, 54:10, 55:9, 56:20 pleasant [1] - 43:18 pleased [1] - 59:8 pleasure [1] - 66:4 plenty [1] - 52:6 plus [1] - 66:1 pocket [1] - 33:16 Point [1] - 38:14 point [22] - 10:6, 10:10, 10:23, 11:1, 11:15, 12:10, 14:17, 20:21, 22:3, 24:3, 25:19, 27:7, 31:7, 37:18, 59:13, 65:10, 75:11, 81:9, 81:23, 83:5 pointed [2] - 21:4, 60:12 points [2] - 26:23, 29:10 policy[1] - 73:11 poor[2] - 20:5, 37:7 poorer[1] - 32:10 position [7] - 10:10, 10:19, 28:16, 39:1, 41:6, 46:20, 55:14 possibility [1] - 44:21 possible [2] - 50:3, 81:1 possibly [3] - 25:15, 25:22, 32:21 power [4] - 72:5, 72:22, 79:3, 79:6

PR[1] - 86:23 practice [7] - 30:1, 44:11, 46:11, 55:1, 55:4, 55:11 Practice [1] - 83:11 practices [8] - 11:22, 20:14, 31:9, 48:20, 50:5, 50:7, 54:2, 57:1 praised [1] - 29:18 precipitous [1] - 8:13 premise [1] - 73:8 preparation [1] - 11:3 prepare [1] - 20:1 preseason [1] - 54:18 PRESENT [2] - 2:10, 2:14 present [2] - 67:15, 70:14 presentations [1] - 57:15 presented [2] - 9:4, 9:22 press [2] - 43:3, 62:21 pressed [3] - 32:2, 32:5 pressing [2] - 42:16, 42:17 pretext [4] - 18:20, 18:22, 31:20, 42:10 pretty [2] - 36:23, 62:15 previous [3] - 4:15, 28:4, 62:20 prima [1] - 41:10 primarily [2] - 57:2, 75:17 probable [4] - 5:9, 6:1, 26:18, 26:21 problem [2] - 28:9, 73:2 problems [2] - 32:13, 37:22 procedure [1] - 74:9 Procedure [1] - 83:11 procedures [2] - 74:3, 74:12 proceed [1] - 4:2 PROCEEDINGS [1] - 1:9 proceedings [4] - 27:5, 58:4, 88:8, 89:6 process [10] - 11:18, 14:22, 71:23, 74:8, 76:3, 76:13, 76:15, 76:16, 77:21 professional [2] - 33:12, 69:20 proficiency [1] - 61:17 program [7] - 46:3, 48:4, 48:6, 48:21, 50:2, 60:22 programming [1] - 60:23 programs [1] - 59:21 progress [1] - 65:6 progressed [1] - 64:17 prominent [1] - 43:1 promise [1] - 30:6 promised [1] - 51:12 proper [1] - 13:9 **Properties** [1] - 6:19 proposals [1] - 70:13 proposed [4] - 11:9, 21:5, 21:7, 85:9 proposing [1] - 71:6

Protection [1] - 86:22 Proud [1] - 61:19 prove [3] - 30:8, 33:7, 33:9 proved [1] - 19:19 proven [1] - 12:3 provide [1] - 58:18 proxy [1] - 71:11 PSA's [2] - 62:21, 64:5 public [1] - 3:4 PUBLIC [1] - 1:5 Public [3] - 1:13, 89:3, 89:12 publication [2] - 29:15, 29:16 published [1] - 29:19 pull [1] - 70:1 pure [1] - 24:11 purposes [1] - 72:3 pursuant [2] - 71:18, 72:9 pushed [3] - 27:8, 28:1, 28:10 put [13] - 15:11, 18:9, 28:19, 30:16, 32:3, 35:7, 35:11, 40:6, 52:4, 61:23, 63:6, 67:19, 74:11 putting [2] - 33:15, 58:11

Q

qualitative [1] - 68:8
Questions [1] - 32:3
questions [22] - 4:16, 4:19,
8:11, 8:13, 9:8, 16:7, 43:3,
45:15, 45:16, 49:21, 52:10,
57:9, 57:10, 57:12, 61:7,
65:12, 68:20, 70:10, 81:5,
82:15, 84:8, 84:11
quicker [1] - 19:23
quite [4] - 48:13, 54:15,
60:13, 75:9
quorum [1] - 3:5
quote [3] - 13:22, 16:1, 23:14
quotes [1] - 21:9

R

racial [7] - 35:9, 35:13, 39:3, 40:3, 40:11, 44:22, 45:4 radio [2] - 62:21, 64:5 raise [1] - 52:18 rambling [1] - 74:19 Ramos [3] - 2:4, 7:11, 60:12 RAMOS [40] - 3:17, 5:13, 5:15, 6:5, 6:8, 7:3, 7:14, 7:23, 8:2, 45:16, 45:23, 47:20, 49:1, 50:23, 52:9, 56:16, 57:7, 63:17, 63:21, 64:3, 69:6, 69:9, 69:17, 69:19, 73:3, 74:20, 75:1,

75:18, 76:20, 80:1, 80:5, 83:21, 83:23, 84:13, 84:19, 85:13, 85:15, 86:1, 86:8, 87:11 rare [1] - 75:23 rated [1] - 39:8 re [1] - 34:5 re-read [1] - 34:5 reach [1] - 40:6 reacted [1] - 18:4 read [3] - 13:6, 16:4, 34:5 readopt [2] - 83:8, 83:15 really [17] - 11:1, 11:2, 12:1, 15:18, 28:23, 31:21, 39:15, 46:8, 49:16, 51:10, 51:12, 57:4, 65:4, 66:7, 68:6, 77:18 reason [29] - 11:6, 12:12, 12:17, 14:22, 15:5, 18:19, 18:21, 18:23, 20:12, 31:21, 34:7, 34:17, 34:23, 35:9, 35:10, 39:4, 39:6, 42:9, 42:23, 45:7, 45:8, 45:11, 47:20, 50:4, 53:18, 56:2, 56:5, 56:6, 73:5 reasonable [2] - 6:21, 80:16 reasons [8] - 11:19, 19:5, 39:8, 39:21, 39:23, 42:23, 60:18, 82:8 rebuttal [1] - 9:7 receive [4] - 9:16, 9:18, 72:2, received [3] - 81:12, 83:7, receives [1] - 70:19 recognition [1] - 86:3 recommend [1] - 5:8 recommendation [2] - 6:4, 6:20 recommended [1] - 50:1 record [10] - 12:21, 24:5, 24:7, 25:7, 27:11, 34:20, 36:23, 84:20, 84:22, 84:23 recordkeep[er[1] - 17:22 records [3] - 22:20, 38:21, 41:7 reducing [1] - 85:10 references [1] - 46:2 reflected [1] - 29:2 reflection [1] ~ 68:1 regarding [3] - 4:16, 37:20, 72:16 regards [4] - 25:1, 25:7, 25:21, 65:4 Region [2] - 60:13, 60:15 rejected [2] - 43:11, 43:17

relates [3] - 62:3, 67:10,

relationship [3] - 16:3,

relating [1] - 49:3

67:17

relay [3] - 78:8, 78:10, 78:12 relaying [1] - 79:3 releases [1] - 62:22 relevant [4] - 13:11, 14:12, 33:4, 38:7 relief [1] - 85:10 remain [3] - 65:12, 65:22, 77:9 remained [1] - 62:14 Remaining [1] - 65:20 remains [1] - 61:19 remember [4] - 19:4, 23:17, 28:14, 45:17 repeat [1] - 45:22 replaced [5] - 10:3, 10:4, 10:11, 11:12, 12:13 Report [6] - 4:1, 4:7, 4:14, 58:6, 68:17, 84:5 report [6] - 4:17, 59:10, 59:14, 61:7, 67:16, 68:9 reported [1] - 62:19 **REPORTER** [1] - 45:22 Reporter [1] - 89:3 **REPORTING** [1] - 1:21 reports [1] - 67:19 Reports [1] - 4:23 represent [1] - 9:11 request [11] - 72:18, 74:21, 75:12, 76:17, 78:12, 79:3, 81:7, 82:7, 82:8, 82:9, 82:18 requesting [3] - 72:19, 77:17, 83:14 requests [10] - 70:19, 72:2, 72:8, 73:18, 73:21, 74:16, 77:12, 77:19, 81:12, 82:2 residing [1] - 89:3 Resource [1] - 58:11 resources [2] - 58:18, 58:19 respectfully [2] - 40:11, 72:19 respond [3] - 71:1, 75:8, 82:1 respondent [2] - 62:12, 75:6 Respondent [3] - 74:22, 74:23, 75:1 respondents [1] - 70:20 responding [1] - 75:4 response [14] - 3:22, 4:20, 5:20, 6:13, 7:8, 8:7, 57:13, 61:8, 69:14, 70:12, 82:17, 85:20, 87:14, 88:5 responses [1] - 62:12 responsible [1] - 71:4 rest [1] - 87:20 result [1] - 47:4 results [1] - 64:21

16:21, 27:21

relatively [2] - 61:21, 65:17

retained [2] - 24:7, 72:22 rethink [1] - 77:1 retreat [1] - 58:21 returned [2] - 9:18, 47:13 reversed [1] - 40:14 review [6] - 3:11, 4:13, 11:14, 26:19, 60:8, 68:16 reviewing [1] - 71:4 Reviews [1] - 26:16 ride [1] - 25:4 **RIGHTS** [2] - 1:1, 2:6 Rights [5] - 1:11, 8:19, 27:6, 66:8, 68:2 rigorous [1] - 48:10 Rincones [1] - 2:12 **RINCONES** [4] - 3:8, 5:3, 5:23, 6:16 Rincones-Chavez [1] - 2:12 RINCONES-CHAVEZ [4] -3:8, 5:3, 5:23, 6:16 Ritter [7] - 8:17, 9:1, 9:11, 11:5, 84:17, 85:3, 85:7 road [1] - 77:20 role [3] - 17:7, 18:2, 34:13 Room [2] - 1:15, 2:8 roughly [1] - 66:15 RPR/CP[1] - 1:21 rules [6] - 54:2, 83:2, 83:4, 83:6, 83:9, 83:18 run [3] - 53:11, 53:13, 55:14 runaway [1] - 33:13 running [11] - 28:2, 53:11. 53:16, 53:17, 53:19, 54:5, 55:1, 55:6, 55:9, 55:12, 56:20

S

sadness [1] - 43:14 sake [2] - 71:8, 79:2 Samantha [28] - 10:3, 10:13, 10:17, 12:11, 12:19, 13:18, 14:23, 15:4, 18:18, 27:22, 28:7, 28:9, 29:12, 31:13, 32:8, 32:9, 34:19, 35:1, 35:4, 37:18, 40:9, 41:4, 41:15, 41:20, 41:21, 42:1, 42:14 sample [2] - 63:18, 63:23 Sample [1] - 63:19 Samuel [1] - 7:11 Sandra [1] - 5:7 sandwiched [1] - 67:16 saw [2] - 21:2, 31:5 scholarship [13] - 24:8, 24:18, 25:3, 25:4, 25:23, 33:2, 33:8, 33:14, 36:5, 36:9, 36:10, 36:12, 43:21 School [3] - 8:17, 9:12, 85:4

school [20] - 24:9, 24:14, 24:19, 24:21, 25:3, 28:13, 28:19, 35:23, 38:21, 43:20, 47:12, 48:12, 48:13, 50:7, 53:7, 62:3, 62:4, 62:7, 70:19, 82:12 schools [5] - 29:1, 48:3, 48:19, 50:6, 62:13 scintilla [1] - 40:12 scorer [8] - 29:7, 29:9, 29:10, 29:20, 32:10, 32:14, 32:15, 37:12 scrimmaging [1] - 54:7 season [23] - 12:4, 13:1, 13:15, 13:16, 14:8, 19:20, 20:2, 22:23, 29:11, 30:14, 37:3, 38:20, 47:1, 52:5, 52:8, 53:15, 53:23, 54:10, 54:11, 54:14, 54:18, 55:22, 55:23 season's [1] - 54:14 seasoned [1] - 14:14 seasons [5] - 20:5, 28:4, 28:8, 48:7, 54:11 second [5] - 3:14, 27:19, 29:9, 58:3, 85:12 Second [10] - 3:15, 5:13, 6:6, 7:1, 7:23, 69:7, 80:2, 80:3, 83:21, 85:13 second-highest [1] - 29:9 secretary [1] - 72:12 section [2] - 60:18, 83:10 Section [1] - 72:10 Sectionals [2] - 27:13, 51:11 see [8] - 4:3, 21:1, 27:19, 34:14, 42:6, 63:22, 65:2, 73.1 select [1] - 12:3 selected [5] - 11:6, 11:19, 14:23, 46:14, 72:10 selection [5] - 11:18, 21:6, 21:8, 22:2 semester [1] - 35:22 Senate [1] - 2:8 send [6] - 52:7, 64:22, 70:7, 74:16, 75:3, 75:12 senior[12] - 10:16, 17:19, 28:12, 28:20, 34:16, 36:16, 36:18, 37:15, 42:3, 46:16, 55:22, 55:23 sense [5] - 30:5, 30:6, 35:12, 74:19 sent [3] - 69:2, 75:6, 83:6 September [1] - 62:7 serious [8] - 23:15, 23:17, 23:18, 39:9, 39:10, 39:11, 39:18, 77:18 serve [1] - 72:11 service [1] - 59:2 Services [1] - 86:22

set [5] - 73:4, 73:5, 75:7, 80:19, 83:5 settings [1] - 29:2 seven [1] - 83:3 seventh [1] - 19:13 several [1] - 52:4 severely [1] - 30:7 Shelby [2] - 1:14, 89:4 Shelbyville [1] - 89:4 shocked [1] - 44:15 shoot [1] - 80:20 shooting [6] - 10:7, 10:14, 10:15, 10:23, 38:15, 41:7 short [2] - 68:6, 70:16 **show** [4] - 22:3, 22:20, 39:20, 63:17 showed [2] - 57:4 shown [1] - 23:11 shows [2] - 12:21, 33:18 sic [5] - 12:12, 14:17, 31:17, 37:19, 53:20 side [2] - 9:22, 74:15 sides [2] - 55:15, 55:16 sidestep [1] - 76:10 sign [1] - 88:1 significant [3] - 4:15, 28:16, 37:17 similar [1] - 58:14 simply [2] - 12:20, 31:18 sister [2] - 36:8, 36:13 sit [4] - 15:13, 28:11, 40:8, 41:1 site [1] - 64:7 sitting [2] - 15:18, 55:10 situation [4] - 16:19, 41:9, 48:23, 67:2 size [3] - 63:18, 63:19, 63:23 skill [1] - 13:1 skills [6] - 13:3, 23:3, 25:5, 50:17, 50:18, 59:1 small [2] - 44:17, 66:16 SMITH [37] - 4:9, 58:1, 58:3, 58:5, 61:9, 63:19, 63:23, 64:4, 68:23, 69:15, 69:18, 70:6, 70:13, 70:23, 73:9, 74:22, 75:2, 75:20, 75:23, 76:22, 78:6, 78:14, 79:7, 79:12, 79:17, 80:18, 81:3, 81:10, 81:22, 82:6, 82:15, 82:18, 82:21, 84:4, 84:7, 84:14, 88:7 **Smith** [3] - 2:6, 12:12, 37:18 smoothly [1] - 71:13 soc[1] - 49:11 soccer [33] - 19:8, 19:12, 19:13, 22:6, 22:11, 23:5, 39:12, 46:11, 46:14, 46:23, 47:1, 47:2, 47:5, 47:15, 47:21, 48:6, 48:11, 48:12,

48:21, 49:10, 49:12, 49:18,

50:14, 50:15, 50:19, 54:10, 54:13, 54:23, 55:3, 55:22, 56:2, 56:7 social [1] - 63:3 Solar [1] - 7:19 someone [3] - 52:7, 62:2, 78:16 Sometimes [1] - 76:6 soon [1] - 81:1 sophomore [8] - 10:12, 12:7, 19:17, 28:5, 29:7, 29:8, 29:14, 37:4 sophomores [2] - 31:3, 56:18 sorry [1] - 9:3 sort [1] - 86:23 sounds [1] - 78:22 South [2] - 1:15, 58:12 special [2] - 28:15, 86:2 specialists [1] - 77:10 specific [6] - 39:8, 50:16, 58:19, 63:8, 64:2, 78:1 Specifically [1] - 64:15 specifically [4] - 15:10, 49:22, 60:12, 60:20 speculation [1] - 24:11 spend [2] - 33:16, 70:18 spent [1] - 24:1 spirit [1] - 73:10 spoken [1] - 60:21 sports [2] - 33:1, 48:4 spring [1] - 62:10 sprints [1] - 53:16 STAFF [1] - 2:10 staff [13] - 8:19, 58:23, 61:5, 63:4, 68:10, 70:1, 70:8, 71:12, 73:12, 76:1, 76:3, 76:23, 77:17 stance [1] - 70:16 stand [4] - 45:7, 65:11, 84:9 standard [4] - 59:18, 74:11, 77:7, 77:10 standpoint [1] - 17:23 stars [1] - 51:16 started [8] - 19:10, 32:1, 32:7, 46:11, 46:15, 54:15, 55:6, 55:7 stat [2] - 19:20, 19:21 STATE [1] - 1:1 state [8] - 58:17, 60:11, 61:3, 62:23, 65:2, 66:3, 67:12, 86:19 State [6] - 1:13, 35:20, 36:15, 43:8, 65:22, 89:13 stately [1] - 88:4 statements [2] - 9:23, 16:8 statistics [9] - 12:5, 13:11, 14:11, 20:4, 36:22, 37:14, 38:7, 38:13, 38:21 statute [6] - 75:5, 75:11,

77:3, 79:7, 79:9, 79:13 statute's [1] - 79:10 statutorily [1] - 71:1 stay [2] - 32:11, 88:1 steals [3] - 29:13, 38:10, 38:18 **Stefanie** [1] - 7:19 step [3] - 74:4, 77:22, 84:7 steps [1] - 26:18 Steven [1] - 2:4 stick [1] - 73:23 still [5] - 15:14, 56:11, 58:8, 66:18, 67:10 **Still** [3] - 65:5, 65:16, 66:1 stone [1] - 74:7 story [1] - 68:6 strange [1] - 73:3 stranger [1] - 68:4 Strategic [4] - 63:11, 64:12, 65:7, 68:14 strategic [1] - 67:21 Street [1] - 1:16 strenuous [1] - 48:17 strictly [1] - 53:15 stringent [1] - 76:5 strong [1] - 29:17 stronger[1] - 42:7 student [6] - 10:13, 11:17, 32:23, 33:17, 43:21, 49:21 students [3] - 39:9, 48:3, 50:8 stuff [5] - 42:10, 44:7, 54:7, 69:21, 70:16 submit [2] - 68:16, 69:1 subsequent [2] - 64:20, 68:13 substantial [4] - 13:20, 36:12, 65:17, 66:1 success [2] - 50:1, 70:2 successfully [1] - 20:8 suffer[1] - 32:14 suggest [3] - 15:6, 16:2, 18:8 suggested [1] - 22:1 suggests [1] - 26:2 sum [1] - 33:6 summer [27] - 11:21, 11:22, 13:15, 13:21, 13:23, 20:10, 20:14, 20:19, 22:9, 22:16, 22:21, 22:22, 23:2, 23:11, 30:1, 30:10, 30:11, 31:9, 44:11, 46:3, 47:21, 47:22, 49:23, 56:19, 57:1, 62:8 **summertime** [1] - 22:13 supersede [1] - 72:21 **supervisors** [1] - 78:19 support [1] - 40:13 supposed [2] - 32:13, 73:14 supposition [1] - 40:5 surveys [1] - 64:22

swear [1] - 52:17 sworn [1] - 52:20

Т

talent [3] - 29:14, 31:2, 31:6 talks [4] - 61:10, 63:13, 64:13, 67:20 tangible [1] - 68:7 target [2] - 61:22, 62:16 targeted [1] - 63:7 Team [1] - 23:9 team [98] - 10:12, 10:14, 10:16, 11:7, 12:14, 15:11, 15:19, 16:12, 16:21, 16:22, 17:2, 17:6, 17:11, 17:18, 17:21, 17:23, 18:2, 18:6, 18:9, 18:10, 19:4, 19:12, 20:1, 20:6, 20:7, 20:19, 21:14, 22:14, 23:22, 27:9, 27:15, 27:20, 27:22, 28:3, 28:7, 28:8, 28:11, 29:5, 29:8, 30:13, 31:2, 31:6, 31:18, 31:20, 32:11, 33:22, 34:12, 34:21, 35:5, 35:6, 35:7, 35:12, 35:15, 36:14, 37:4, 37:5, 37:6, 37:16, 37:19, 38:10, 38:11, 39:3, 39:13, 39:16, 40:7, 40:21, 41:1, 41:6, 41:8, 41:15, 41:16, 41:17, 42:2, 42:7, 42:19, 43:15, 44:7, 44:19, 46:18, 46:23, 47:2, 49:18, 51:1, 51:3, 51:6, 51:11, 51:14, 51:20, 55:7 team's [1] - 15:17 team-chemistry [1] - 17:23 teammate [2] - 23:9, 23:13 teams [4] - 22:15, 41:22, 43:6, 43:10 technically [1] - 73:14 Tek [1] - 7:19 ten [3] - 34:3, 40:17, 65:23 terms [11] - 31:8, 32:17, 44:7, 64:19, 65:13, 66:11, 66:16, 68:7, 70:17, 77:8, 77:11 terrible [1] - 20:22 testified [14] - 12:22, 13:4, 13:10, 13:12, 19:2, 22:4, 23:9, 23:13, 24:10, 25:13, 25:17, 36:6, 47:16, 47:18 testify [4] - 24:10, 24:23, 36:11, 50:11 testimony [17] - 11:11, 12:2, 13:6, 13:8, 18:7, 24:9, 25:7, 27:16, 34:6, 36:19, 37:21, 40:1, 50:20, 50:21, 52:2, 52:16, 57:6

testing [1] - 60:22 Thanksgiving [1] - 59:6 THE [2] - 1:1, 45:22 themselves [2] - 8:15, 27:17 there've [1] - 4:15 Therefore [2] - 72:7, 72:18 therefore [2] - 42:2, 75:12 thereof (1) - 61:2 Thereupon [1] - 88:8 they've [2] - 66:17, 86:14 They've [1] - 3:8 third [2] - 29:10, 29:11 third-highest [1] - 29:10 thousand [2] - 64:6, 64:10 threatened [1] - 49:16 three [9] - 21:10, 21:14, 22:21, 28:21, 29:17, 55:3, 61:21, 64:14, 86:17 three-part [1] - 86:17 three-person [1] - 29:17 throughout [7] - 59:16, 60:11, 60:14, 62:22, 65:1, 66:3, 67:12 throw [2] - 38:11, 38:17 Thursday [1] - 58:13 ties [1] - 63:10 timelines [1] - 73:13 tipped [3] - 26:20, 27:2, 31:11 Today [1] - 8:20 today [4] - 16:11, 26:15, 26:23, 84:8 together [7] - 18:15, 22:14, 22:15, 38:8, 63:6, 70:1, 74:11 ton [1] - 67:9 top[1] - 24:19 touch [2] - 53:20, 65:3 touches [3] - 63:1, 64:7, 64:16 touching [1] - 56:22 track [2] - 46:6, 53:13 training [1] - 58:22 transcribed [1] - 89:8 transcript [11] - 9:21, 11:13, 13:22, 14:21, 16:1, 16:5, 31:23, 34:6, 43:5, 50:22, 89:6 travel [1] - 65:1 tremendous [2] - 29:20, 41:13 trials [1] - 11:4 tried [4] - 9:12, 34:11, 35:16, Trine [4] - 36:7, 36:14, 43:9 Triplett (1) - 13:18 trouble [1] - 80:10 true [4] - 35:3, 49:18, 62:9,

89:5

try [6] - 8:23, 21:13, 35:17, 36:2, 36:14, 59:4 trying [9] - 16:2, 29:1, 37:6, 43:6, 43:10, 73:7, 76:10, 81:8, 83:8 tryout [3] - 12:23, 22:21, 23:16 tryouts [10] - 11:20, 13:14, 16:10, 20:18, 23:7, 23:15, 23:18, 39:9, 39:18 Tuesday [2] - 87:4, 87:5 turned [2] - 29:20, 30:4 turning [1] - 37:22 turnovers [2] - 38:5, 38:6 TV [2] - 62:21, 64:5 twisted [1] - 18:11 Twitter [1] - 63:4 Two [4] - 19:17, 22:8, 46:10, 65:7 two [13] - 10:22, 23:16, 27:23, 28:4, 28:8, 30:23, 36:8, 40:20, 45:16, 55:3, 61:16, 64:6, 65:10 two-day [1] - 23:16 type [1] - 80:17 typically [1] - 75:20

U

ultimate [4] - 12:17, 15:5, 18:19, 31:11 Ultimately [1] - 74:21 ultimately [2] - 61:12, 67:23 under [1] - 38:21 undersigned [1] - 89:2 understood [2] - 13:7, 66:12 Unfortunately [1] - 13:23 unfortunately [1] - 14:14 Univers [1] - 35:19 University [5] - 35:20, 36:7, 36:14, 43:8 uniess [3] - 33:10, 68:18, 84:8 unorganized [1] - 50:6 up [28] - 10:9, 11:22, 14:15, 20:23, 21:20, 32:15, 40:1, 44:21, 45:7, 51:6, 51:8, 51:16, 51:20, 53:14, 56:1, 61:12, 67:2, 70:15, 71:2, 71:16, 78:23, 80:19, 82:21, 83:2, 86:14, 87:17 up-and-comers [1] - 51:20 up-and-coming [2] - 51:6, 51:16 Update [1] - 57:22 updated [1] - 68:14 updates [1] - 67:16 uphold [4] - 5:8, 5:23, 6:21, 85:8

upset [2] - 39:17, 47:14 utilizing [1] - 63:5

V

vacation [1] - 62:8 vacations [3] - 62:10, 62:11 valid [2] - 32:6, 39:4 varsity [12] - 19:8, 19:12, 23:7, 23:8, 28:3, 29:8, 39:12, 46:23, 47:1, 47:2, 47:5, 49:12 verses [1] - 47:22 version [3] - 59:23, 60:6, 67:18 versus [6] - 5:7, 6:19, 7:12, 7:19, 85:3, 85:7 Vice [1] - 71:19 Vice-Chair [1] - 71:19 victories [1] - 26:22 video [1] - 62:22 view [1] - 21:16 visibility [1] - 65:10 vital [1] - 61:5 voluntary [1] - 49:23 volunteering [2] - 87:7, 87:8 vote [4] - 68:22, 72:19, 78:1, 78:7 voting [1] - 26:15

W

waiting [2] - 9:15, 26:14 warned [1] - 23:4 Washington [1] - 1:16 ways [2] - 66:19, 67:14 Web [2] - 64:7, 64:8 Web-based [1] - 64:8 Wednesday [1] - 87:5 week [4] - 55:3, 55:5, 58:12, 80:23 weighed [1] - 17:4 West [1] - 1:15 Westin [1] - 87:6 white [3] - 32:14, 34:19, 76:14 who've [2] - 87:7 whole [6] - 15:3, 23:16, 35:21, 59:22, 63:2, 80:22 William [1] - 1:21 willing [1] - 56:9 with...the [1] - 17:15 witnessed [1] - 13:17 won [2] - 37:4, 37:11 wondering [1] - 43:22 word [1] - 4:1 workouts [4] - 13:23, 20:10, 22:9, 22:22

worth [1] - 34:11 written [2] - 37:21, 73:9



Year [1] - 68:17 year [54] - 10:11, 10:15, 10:16, 13:11, 14:12, 14:13, 17:3, 20:2, 28:20, 29:7, 29:8, 29:9, 29:14, 29:16, 30:8, 30:20, 31:4, 33:9, 34:16, 36:15, 36:17, 36:18, 37:4, 37:7, 37:10, 37:15, 37:16, 38:5, 38:8, 38:13, 39:22, 42:3, 42:13, 46:16, 46:20, 51:8, 51:9, 53:7, 58:9, 59:7, 59:9, 59:16, 60:14, 62:4, 63:12, 64:17, 64:20, 68:15, 83:1, 87:20 years [5] - 28:21, 31:20, 58:15, 70:18, 83:3 yesterday [1] - 59:11 young [1] - 44:3 younger [6] - 14:5, 19:17, 19:23, 20:16, 42:8, 51:7 yourselves [1] - 18:16

Ζ

zero [1] - 61:20